

# CDL Core Files 2018-2019

## Table of Contents

### H-1B Visas AFFIRMATIVE

#### 1AC - PLAN

**PLAN: THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE RESTRICTIONS ON H-1B VISAS BY:**

- 1) ELIMINATING CAPS**
- 2) ELIMINATING TIME LIMITS BY PROVIDING A PATH TO PERMANENT RESIDENCE.**

#### 1AC - Advantage 1 (HARMS) - Competitiveness

**Advantage 1 is Competitiveness**  
REMOVING THE H-1B VISA CAP STRENGTHENS AMERICAN TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION AND COMPETITIVENESS.  
SLOW TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION UNDERMINES HEGEMONY BY INCREASING THE RELATIVE STRENGTH OF RUSSIA AND CHINA AND CREATING A PERCEPTION OF AMERICAN WEAKNESS  
US HEGEMONY CHECKS CHINESE AND RUSSIAN AGGRESSION.  
CONFLICT WITH RUSSIA LEADS TO NUCLEAR WAR AND THE END OF HUMAN CIVILIZATION

#### 1AC - Advantage 2 (HARMS) – Doctors

**Advantage 2 is Doctors**  
DOCTOR SHORTAGES ARE COMING NOW – IMMIGRATION POLICY IS KEY.  
PHYSICIAN SHORTAGE CAUSES PREVENTABLE DEATHS, LONG WAITS, AND KILLS ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE.  
PRIMARY CARE ACCESS IS THE FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE TO STOP PANDEMICS.  
WE’RE ON THE BRINK OF THE NEXT PANDEMIC, A MASSIVE DISEASE OUTBREAK—RESPONSE TIMES ARE KEY TO PREVENT GLOBAL DEATHS OF HISTORIC SCALE

THERE IS A HIGH PROBABILITY THAT PANDEMICS, MASSIVE DISEASE  
OUTBREAKS, WILL CAUSE HUMAN EXTINCTION.

## **1AC – SOLVENCY**

THE PLAN SOLVES AND ATTRACTS NEW TALENT.  
REMOVING CAPS IS CRITICAL TO ENSURE AMERICA HAS SKILLED  
WORKERS – THERE ARE SIMPLY NO ALTERNATIVES.

## **2AC/1AR – H-1B Visas**

### **2AC (HARMS) Competitiveness - “No Tech Shortage” Answers To: 1NC**

They say, “no tech shortage,” but ...

2. YES, THERE IS A TECH WORKER SHORTAGE – AMERICAN  
STUDENTS CAN’T FILL THE GAP

### **2AC HARMS (Competitiveness) - Hegemony Turn Answers To: 1NC**

They say, “hegemony causes war,” but ...

2. AMERICAN HEGEMONY AND MILITARY POWER IS NECESSARY TO  
MAINTAIN WORLD PEACE

### **2AC (HARMS) Competitiveness – “World Wars obsolete” Answers to: 1NC**

They say, “World War is obsolete,” but ...

2. GREAT POWER WAR COMING – ONLY THE US CAN PREVENT IT.  
3. THE BEST HISTORICAL RESEARCH PROVES THAT WORLD WAR IS  
STILL POSSIBLE

### **2AC (HARMS) Competitiveness – “U.S. is not key to peace” Answers to: 1NC**

They say, “the U.S. is not key to peace,” but ...

### **2AC HARMS (Doctors) – “PLAN can’t solve pandemics” Answers to 1NC:**

They say, “PLAN can’t solve pandemics,” but ...

2. HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS ARE ON THE FRONTLINE OF AN  
EPIDEMIC – SHORTAGES NOW

### **2AC HARMS (Doctors) – “Trump wrecks disease cooperation” Answers to 1NC:**

They say, “Trump wrecks international disease cooperation,” but

...

2. NEW CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL DIRECTOR ENSURES U.S. COMMITMENT TO GLOBAL EPIDEMIC PREVENTION PROGRAMS

### **2AC HARMS (Doctors) – “No disease extinction” Answers to 1NC:**

They say, “Pandemics don’t cause extinction,” but ...

2. DISEASES CAN CAUSE SPECIES LEVEL EXTINCTION.

3. NEW DISEASES ARE COMING THAT CAN CAUSE EXTINCTION.

### **1AR HARMS (Doctors) – Answers to “Pandemics Don’t Cause Extinction”**

They say, “pandemics cause extinction,” but group their arguments.

2. PANDEMICS ARE DEADLY AND CAN CAUSE EXTINCTION.
3. NEW APOCALYPTIC SUPERBUGS ARE COMING – PREVENTION IS KEY.
4. BIODIVERSITY LOSS INCREASES THE RISK OF EXTINCTION LEVEL DISEASES.

### **2AC SOLVENCY — “Trump Scares Away Workers” Answers To 1NC**

They say “Trump scares away workers,” but...

1. Their evidence assumes STATUS QUO policies – the PLAN is distinct. The Kumar 2016 evidence indicates that the PLAN’s creation of a direct path to citizenship in the program is key to attract new talent. This means that the PLAN does lead to more workers coming because it signals a shift.
2. EVEN IF SOME WORKERS ARE DETERRED BY TRUMP, APPLICATIONS FOR H-1BS STILL EXCEED THE SUPPLY.
3. BEST EVIDENCE PROVES – SURVEYS SHOW THAT PEOPLE STILL WANT TO MOVE TO THE US.

### **2AC SOLVENCY — “Trump Scares Away Doctors” Answers To 1NC**

They say, “PLAN can’t solve pandemics,” but ...

## **2AC H-1B AFFIRMATIVE – Answers to Off-Case (DISADVANTAGES, TOPICALITY)**

### **2AC Frontline – Trump Base DISADVANTAGE**

#### **Answers**

1. THE INTERNAL LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP LOST A THIRD OF HIS BASE AND DID NOT LASH OUT.
2. THE LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP BACKED DOWN ON SEPARATING FAMILIES AND THE IMPACT OF THE DISADVANTAGE DIDN'T HAPPEN.
3. THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - EVEN IF THEY WIN THAT CHECKS FAIL, THE RUSSIA INVESTIGATION IS A HUGE ALTERNATIVE CAUSE TO DIVERSIONARY WAR.
4. NO LINK – CONSERVATIVE MEDIA INSULATES TRUMP FROM CRITICISM.

### **2AC Frontline –Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE**

#### **Answers**

1. TURN – TRUMP'S PUSH TO RESTRICT H-1B VISAS WILL CRUSH INDIA'S ECONOMY. ONLY THE PLAN SOLVES.
2. REMITTANCES ARE KEY TO INDIA'S ECONOMY. PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK.
3. TURN - BRAIN DRAIN IS GOOD – HIGH SKILLED WORKERS ABROAD FUEL INDIA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH THROUGH BRAIN CIRCULATION.
4. THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - TERRORISM IS A BIGGER AND MORE PROBABLE CAUSE OF WAR IN SOUTH ASIA AND THE PLAN HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF SOLVENCY.

### **2AC Frontline –Wages DISADVANTAGE Answers**

1. TURN - OPENING BORDERS FUELS EXPORTS, DRIVES UP WAGES, AND INCREASES GROWTH.
2. NO LINK – IMMIGRATION EITHER HAS A NEUTRAL OR POSITIVE IMPACT ON WAGES.
3. PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK – THEIR BIVENS EVIDENCE SAYS THE PRIMARY CONSTRAINT ON GROWTH IS SLOW SPENDING. IMMIGRATION IS KEY TO SOLVE.

### **1AR Answers to Wages Disadvantages – Extensions to 2AC “No LINK”**

Extend our 2AC Matthews 2015 evidence that says that studies show immigration has a positive or neutral

economic effect. First, it's better than their \_\_\_\_\_  
evidence because...

(Put their author's name)

**2 THERE'S NO LINK – THE BEST STUDIES AND HISTORY PROVE THAT POPULATION CAN INCREASE BY 8% WITHOUT DECREASING WAGES.**

### **2AC Frontline –Capitalism KRITIK Answers**

- 1. PERMUTATION - do both the PLAN and the KRITIK. This solves both the HARMS of the 1AC and the IMPACTS of capitalism.**
- 2. TURN: CAPITALISM IS GOOD – IT'S PULLED BILLIONS OUT OF POVERTY.**
- 3. CASE OUTWEIGHS –**
- 4. TURN: CAPITALISM IS GOOD – IT'S THE ONLY WAY TO SOLVE GLOBAL WARMING.**
- 5. NO LINK - All their LINKs are LINKs to the STATUS QUO and not the PLAN. The creation of a path to legal residence is not an increase in capitalism.**

### **2AC Frontline - State Visas COUNTERPLAN – H-1B AFFIRMATIVE (Specific)**

- 1. PERMUTATION – Do both – increase H-1B federal visas and expand state visas.**
- 2. STATE BASED VISAS WOULD UNDERCUT THE LABOR MARKET, EDUCATION AND HEALTH CARE**
- 3. SOLVENCY DEFICIT - THE COUNTERPLAN LEADS TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION AND WORKERS LEAVING THE STATES THEY IMMIGRATE TO AND STATES CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT**
- 4. THE COUNTERPLAN CAUSES WORKER DEBT AND WORKPLACE ABUSE**
- 5. COUNTERPLAN DOESN'T SOLVE—ELIMINATES SANCTUARY CITIES AND MAKES BACKGROUND CHECKS IMPOSSIBLE BECAUSE IT REQUIRES INFORMATION FROM DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, WHICH WON'T COOPERATE**
- 6. SANCTUARY CITIES ARE KEY TO THE ECONOMY AND PUBLIC SAFETY**

### **1AR Answers to State Visas COUNTERPLAN** **“SOLVENCY DEFICIT” – H-1B AFFIRMATIVE** **(Specific)**

Extend our 2AC SOLVENCY DEFICIT and Cadman 2017 evidence that says that the COUNTERPLAN can't keep guest workers in the states they get visas to and leads to illegal immigration. First, it's better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author's name)

2. SOLVENCY DEFICIT - FEDERAL ACTION IS NECESSARY ---  
MOST EFFECTIVE WAY TO ESTABLISH IMMIGRATION POLICY

## **1AR Answers to State Visas COUNTERPLAN "State Based Visas Bad for Economy" – H-1B**

### **AFFIRMATIVE (Specific)**

Extend our 2AC Bauer 2017 evidence that says that State Visas are bad for the labor market and economy. First, it's better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author's name)

2 THE COUNTERPLAN FAILS --- STATES DON'T CONSIDER  
NATIONAL INTERESTS AND FRAUD EMPIRICALLY INCREASES

## **2AC Frontline – TOPICALITY - "Permanent Residence" Answers**

1. WE MEET – H-1B visas are a restriction on legal immigration because H-1B classification is a limitation on who can legally move to the US for permanent residence. The PLAN directly lifts this restriction by converting it to a permanent residence visa.
2. WE MEET – SKILLED GUEST WORKERS ARE CURRENTLY AN AREA OF "LEGAL IMMIGRATION" – IT'S NOT JUST LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENTS
3. COUNTER INTERPRETATION: "LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION" REFERS TO WHO MAY ENTER, HOW LONG THEY STAY, AND WHEN THEY LEAVE
4. COUNTER-STANDARDS:

A. GROUND – They overlimit the topic which kills education by preventing us from learning about key areas of the topic. Our INTERPRETATION provides the clearest GROUND at the core of the topic because we include agricultural worker visas and student visas,

**which are the center of public controversy for immigration policy.**

**B. LIMITS – our INTERPRETATION is a better LIMIT because it is in the context of immigration LAW, not just “immigration.” This context is important for any interpretation of the topic and provides a clear LIMIT.**

**5. They say there’s a TOPICAL version of the AFFIRMATIVE, but there’s no author who advocates using green cards instead of H-1B visas for skilled workers. Our AFFIRMATIVE is at the center of the topic literature and sets PREDICTABLE LIMITS.**

**6. TOPICALITY is not a voting issue – you should use REASONABILITY in evaluating whether the AFFIRMATIVE is REASONABLY TOPICAL and whether our INTERPRETATION creates REASONABLE LIMITS for the topic.**

**1AR Answers to TOPICALITY = Permanent Residence – Extension to “WE MEET – Skilled Guest Workers”**

Extend our 2AC WE MEET and our Passel and Cohn 2015 evidence that says that legal immigration includes skilled guest workers. First, it’s better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author’s name)

2 LEGAL IMMIGRATION INCLUDES WORKER STATUS – HERE’S ANOTHER PROFESSOR AND EXPERT

**NEGATIVE – H-1B Visas**

**1NC – HARMS (Competitiveness) frontline**

1. THERE IS NO TECH WORKER SHORTAGE.
2. HEGEMONY TURN – AMERICA’S DECLINE AS THE WORLD’S SUPERPOWER IS INEVITABLE AND TRYING TO MAINTAIN GLOBAL LEADERSHIP LEADS TO WAR AND TURNS THE AFFIRMATIVE.
3. NO IMPACT - HISTORY PROVES AMERICA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PEACE
4. NO IMPACT - WORLD WAR IS OBSOLETE.

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Competitiveness) Extension to 1NC “No Tech Shortage”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Competitiveness) Extensions to 1NC Hegemony TURN**

2. US HEGEMONIC DECLINE IS GOOD – IT PREVENTS A CONFLICT WITH CHINA. THE PLAN INCREASES HEGEMONY AND CAUSES WAR.
3. HEGEMONY DECLINE IS GOOD – IT REDUCES GLOBAL VIOLENCE.
4. A) HEGEMONY IS BAD – IT FUELS NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION.
- 4 B) PROLIFERATION CAUSES EXTINCTION.

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Competitiveness) Extension to 1NC “No World War”**

2. MANY FACTORS ENSURE STABLE PEACE AND NO GREAT POWER WAR.

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Competitiveness) Extension to 1NC “U.S. is not key to peace”**

**1NC – HARMS (Doctors) Frontline**



1. **PLAN CAN'T SOLVE PANDEMICS – THE WORLD IS JUST NOT READY**
2. **TRUMP'S FOREIGN POLICY WRECKS INTERNATIONAL DISEASE COOPERATION.**
3. **NO IMPACT - PANDEMICS DON'T CAUSE EXTINCTION BECAUSE OF HUMAN RESILIENCE**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Doctors) – Extension to 1NC**  
**“Trump Wrecks Disease Cooperation”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Doctors) – Extension to 1NC**  
**“PLAN can't solve pandemics”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Doctors) Extensions to – “No IMPACT”**

2. **DISEASES BURN OUT AND OUR GENETICS STOP WIDESPREAD DISEASE**
3. **EVEN IF THEY WIN THAT PANDEMICS CAUSE EXTINCTION, THE NUCLEAR WAR THEY CAUSE THROUGH OUR DISADVANTAGE OUTWEIGHS ON PROBABILITY.**

**1NC - SOLVENCY Frontline**

1. **NO SOLVENCY – TECH WORKERS DON'T WANT TO COME TO THE US AS LONG AS TRUMP IS PRESIDENT. THE AFFIRMATIVE WILL ONLY INCREASE UNCERTAINTY.**
2. **NO SOLVENCY - DOCTORS WON'T COME TO THE US BECAUSE OF TRUMP'S IMMIGRATION POLICIES.**

**2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Extensions to 1NC “Trump Scares Away Workers”**

2. **TRUMP'S RHETORIC SCARES H-1B WORKERS AWAY. THE PLAN CAN'T SOLVE**
3. **UNCERTAINTY ABOUT TRUMP'S POLICIES SCARES OFF FOREIGN STUDENTS**

**2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Extensions to 1NC “Trump Scares Away Doctors”**

2. **TRUMP IS DETERRING DOCTORS FROM IMMIGRATING – PERCEPTION IS KEY**

**State Visas COUNTERPLAN –  
NEGATIVE (vs H-1B AFFIRMATIVE)**

## **1NC COUNTERPLAN - State Visas**

**COUNTERPLAN TEXT: THE UNITED STATES  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD PERMIT STATES  
TO INCREASE GUEST WORKERS THROUGH A  
STATE-SPONSORED VISA PROGRAM.**

**SOLVENCY: STATE BASED VISAS GIVE STATE GOVERNMENTS THE  
VERSATILITY TO DECIDE HOW MANY IMMIGRANTS TO LET IN**

## **1NC NET BENEFIT: Wages**

### **DISADVANTAGE**

**The NET BENEFIT is the Wages DISADVANTAGE – we  
avoid this while the AFFIRMATIVE LINKS  
THE COUNTERPLAN PREVENTS BUSINESSES FROM USING POWER  
TO UNDERMINE WAGES**

## **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY - State Visas**

### **COUNTERPLAN**

#### **2NC/1NR Solves Better than Federal Government**

**COUNTERPLAN SOLVES BETTER THAN THE AFFIRMATIVE BY  
ALLOWING STATES TO EXPAND LEGAL IMMIGRATION TO MEET  
ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT NEEDS**

#### **2NC/1NR Solves Better Than Existing Visa System**

**COUNTERPLAN SOLVES BETTER THAN EXISTING EMPLOYMENT-  
BASED VISAS**

#### **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY - Removing Federal Restrictions**

**REMOVING FEDERAL RESTRICTIONS ALLOWS STATES TO EXPAND  
WORK VISAS TO MEET NEEDS**

#### **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Other Countries Prove**

**NO SOLVENCY DEFICITS – CANADA PROVES  
COUNTERPLAN EMPIRICALLY SOLVES—AUSTRALIA AND CANADA  
PROVE**

## **2NC/1NR Answers-to-2AC**

### **2NC/1NR PERMUTATION Answers to 2AC:**

**They say PERMUTATION – do both, but...**

- 1. YOU CAN'T DO BOTH. THE COUNTERPLAN REQUIRES THE CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF INDIVIDUAL STATES ON AN IMMIGRANT BEING LET INTO THE US**
- 2. Extend our 1NC Wages DISADVANTAGE NET BENEFIT and our Fuller and Rust 2017 evidence.**
- 3. Only State Visas prevent employers from keeping guest workers' wages down. This means we don't cause the decline in wages that collapses the economy.**
- 4. NO NEED FOR THE PLAN - SUCCESSFUL STATE-BASED VISA PROGRAMS LEAD TO BETTER FEDERAL POLICY**
- 5. NO NEED FOR THE PLAN - THE COUNTERPLAN GETS MODELED BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

### **2NC/1NR "SOLVENCY DEFICIT" Answers To 2AC: (H-1B AFFIRMATIVE Specific)**

**They say there's a SOLVENCY DEFICIT, but ...**

- 2. THE COUNTERPLAN BRINGS IN MORE THAN ENOUGH WORKERS**
- 3. COUNTERPLAN SOLVES DOCTORS**
- 4. COUNTERPLAN SOLVES HIGH SKILLED IMMIGRANTS— ALLOWS LABOR FLEXIBILITY**

### **2NC/1NR "Worker Abuses" Answers To 2AC: (H-1B AFFIRMATIVE Specific)**

**They say that State Visas lead to worker abuses, but ...**

- 2. GUEST WORKERS WITH STATE VISAS HAVE PORTABILITY AND CAN MOVE BETWEEN EMPLOYERS**
- 3. OUR COUNTERPLAN PREVENTS ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION AND WORKER ABUSE**

### **2NC/1NR "State Visas Hurt Economy" Answers To 2AC: (H-1B AFFIRMATIVE Specific)**

**They say that State Visas hurt the labor market and economy, but ...**

- 2. STATE-BASED VISAS LEAD TO EFFICIENT LOCAL LABOR MARKETS**
- 3. COUNTERPLAN SOLVES THE ECONOMY BY REVITALIZING STATES— CANADA AND AUSTRALIA PROVE**
- 4. STATE BASED VISAS SOLVE BETTER --- THEY ATTRACT BUSINESSES, EXPAND THE LOCAL TAX BASE, AND GENERATE JOBS**

5. COUNTERPLAN ALLOWS STATES TO ADAPT TO LOCAL ECONOMIC NEEDS—OVERCOMES INEFFICIENCIES IN THE CURRENT VISA SYSTEM

**2NC/1NR “Sanctuary Cities” Answers to 2AC:**

They say that State Visas get rid of sanctuary cities, but

...

**2NC/1NR “Illegal Immigration” Answers to 2AC:**

They say that State Visas lead to illegal immigration, but

...

2 STATES CAN ENFORCE BETTER AND PREVENT VISA VIOLATIONS

3 NO ABUSES— THE COUNTERPLAN SOLVES BLACK MARKET

4 THE COUNTERPLAN IS ENFORCED—THREAT OF SUSPENSION AND INCENTIVES

5 THE POSSIBILITY OF CITIZENSHIP MAKES REGIONAL VISAS SELF-ENFORCING

6 COUNTERPLAN PREVENTS ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION AND WORKER ABUSE

7 THE COUNTERPLAN ENSURES COMPLIANCE

## **Open Borders – Version 1 (Beginners)**

### **AFFIRMATIVE**

#### **1AC - PLAN**

**PLAN: THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD ESTABLISH OPEN BORDERS BY REMOVING ALL LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION.**

#### **1AC - Advantage 1 – HARMS (Economy)**

**TRUMP’S IMMIGRATION POLICIES UNDERMINE LABOR FORCE GROWTH. THAT WILL HURT THE ECONOMY FOR DECADES.**

**WORKER SHORTAGE IS COMING NOW.**

**LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION HURT THE ECONOMY.**

**REMOVAL HAS HUGE BENEFITS.**

**IMMIGRATION RESTRICTIONS ENSURE A SHORTAGE OF TRUCKERS.**

**TRUCKING SHORTAGE IS ON THE BRINK OF A CRISIS. WITHOUT**

**ACTION IT WILL BE A TURNING POINT FOR THE US ECONOMY THAT TRIGGERS HIGHER INFLATION.**

RISING INFLATION TRIGGERS A RAPID ECONOMIC DOWNTURN.  
ECONOMIC DOWNTURN CAUSES TRUMP TO WAGE DIVERSIONARY  
WAR.

## **1AC - Advantage 2 – HARMS (Inequality)**

ELIMINATING US RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION LEADS TO  
MASSIVE BENEFITS FOR PEOPLE GLOBALLY.  
MIGRATION IS THE BEST SOLUTION TO INEQUALITY, SPECIFICALLY  
WHEN IT DOESN'T DISCRIMINATE BETWEEN HIGH AND LOW SKILLED  
WORKERS.  
INEQUALITY FUELS TERRORISM.  
TERRORISM WILL GO NUCLEAR – IT CAN HAPPEN.  
NUCLEAR TERRORISM SPARKS RETALIATORY ESCALATION THAT  
RESULTS IN NUCLEAR WAR.

## **1AC – SOLVENCY**

SMALLER REFORMS WILL FAIL. ONLY REMOVING LEGAL  
RESTRICTIONS CAN SOLVE WITHOUT DECIMATING HUMAN RIGHTS.  
THE PLAN SPILLS OVER TO CHALLENGE WHITE SUPREMACY.  
REMOVING ALL RESTRICTIONS IS THE ONLY SOLUTION THAT CAN  
WORK IN A GLOBALIZING WORLD.  
GLOBALIZATION BENEFITS ALL NATIONS. RETREAT FROM  
GLOBALIZATION WILL HURT THE POOR.

## **2AC/1AR – Open Borders – Version 1** **(Beginners) AFFIRMATIVE**

### **2AC HARMS (Economy) Answers to 1NC “No labor shortage”**

They say, “there’s no labor shortage,” but ...

### **2AC HARMS (Economy) Answers to 1NC “PLAN can’t solve inflation”**

They say, “PLAN can’t solve inflation,” but ...

2. THEY SAY THERE’S A TRADE WAR WITH CHINA, BUT THAT’S NOT  
TRUE

### **2AC HARMS (Economy) Answers to 1NC “More truckers can’t solve”**

They say, “more truckers can’t solve,” but ...

2. IMMIGRATION IS KEY TO SOLVE TRUCKING SHORTAGE.

## **2AC HARMS (Inequality) Answers to 1NC**

### **Democracy Turn**

They say, “open borders hurt democracy,” but ...

2. **PLAN SOLVES DEMOCRACY – REMOVING RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION IS KEY TO DURABLE DEMOCRATIZATION AND SPREADING DEMOCRATIC NORMS.**

## **1AR HARMS (Inequality) – ANSWERS to -**

### **Democracy TURN**

They say open borders hurt democracy, but group their arguments.

2. **NO LINK – OPEN BORDERS DON’T WEAKEN THE NATION-STATE**

## **2AC SOLVENCY Answers to 1NC “Can’t solve inequality”**

1. **Extend Shivani 2017 – migration is a human right that’s key to all other rights. That means only by doing the PLAN can we access improved workers rights.**
2. **THE PLAN CAN SOLVE - IMMIGRATION FUELS REMITTANCES WHICH ARE THE BEST MECHANISM TO SOLVE GLOBAL POVERTY.**

## **2AC Frontline – Trump Base DISADVANTAGE Answers**

1. **THEIR INTERNAL LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP LOST A THIRD OF HIS BASE AND DID NOT LASH OUT.**
2. **THEIR LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP BACKED DOWN ON SEPARATING FAMILIES AND THE IMPACT OF THE DISADVANTAGE DIDN’T HAPPEN.**
3. **ECONOMY TURN - THE ECONOMY HAS FINALLY RECOVERED IN AREAS WHERE TRUMP’S BASE LIVES. HIS ECONOMIC PROMISES WERE KEY TO BASE SUPPORT.**
4. **NO LINK – CONSERVATIVE MEDIA INSULATES TRUMP FROM CRITICISM.**
- 5 **THE PLAN LINK TURNS AND SOLVES THE DISADVANTAGE – LAGGING POPULATION GROWTH CAUSES A CASCADE OF ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES THAT RESULTS IN A DECREASE IN SPENDING WHICH FUELS JOB LOSSES. THAT IS UNIQUELY BAD FOR RURAL AREAS WHERE TRUMP’S BASE IS CONCENTRATED.**
- 6 **Their IMPACT is NON-UNIQUE: Extend Foster 2016 – Trump responds to minor economic decline with**

**diversionary war. The STATUS QUO will cause diversionary war, but the PLAN has a risk of solving it.**

## **2AC Frontline – Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE**

### **Answers**

- 1. PLAN SOLVES – OPEN BORDERS ARE KEY TO ENSURE KNOWLEDGE CIRCULATION THAT SOLVES BRAIN DRAIN.**
- 2. BRAIN CIRCULATION - MIGRANTS REMAIN CONNECTED TO THEIR HOME COUNTRIES WHICH ENSURES DEVELOPMENT THROUGH INVESTMENT AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING**
- 3. THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - TERRORISM IS A BIGGER AND MORE PROBABLE CAUSE OF WAR IN SOUTH ASIA AND THE PLAN HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF SOLVENCY.**

## **2AC Frontline – Wages DISADVANTAGE Answers**

- 1. TURN - IMMIGRATION FUELS EXPORTS, DRIVES UP WAGES, AND INCREASES GROWTH.**
- 2. TURN - WITHOUT THE PLAN THE TRUCKING SHORTAGE CAUSES A RISE IN INFLATION – THAT’S THE WASHINGTON POST 2018 EVIDENCE. THAT CRUSHES WAGE GROWTH.**
- 3. PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK – THEIR BIVENS EVIDENCE SAYS THE PRIMARY CONSTRAINT ON GROWTH IS SLOW SPENDING. IMMIGRATION IS KEY TO SOLVE.**
- 4. Their IMPACT is NOT UNIQUE - Extend the US News and World Report 2017 evidence – the STATUS QUO crushes economic growth because Trump’s policies kill labor force growth which accounts for half of all growth. That’s an ALTERNATIVE cause to the DISADVANTAGE’S IMPACT.**
- 5. LINK TURN - OPEN BORDERS INCREASE WAGES BY RAISING VALUE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE**

## **1AR – Answers To – Wages DISADVANTAGE – Extension to 2AC “Plan solves INTERNAL LINK – Spending”**

**Extend our 2AC White 2017 evidence that says that immigrants increase spending, which boosts the economy. First, it’s better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...**

(Put their author’s name)

- 2 PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK – IMMIGRANTS ARE KEY TO CONSUMER SPENDING.**

**1AR – Answers To – Wages DISADVANTAGE –**  
**Extension to 2AC “Immigration benefits economy”**

Extend our 2AC Kane 2015 evidence that says that studies show immigration have a net positive economic effect. First, it’s better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because... (Put their author’s name)

**2 IMMIGRATION EITHER HAS A NEUTRAL OR POSITIVE IMPACT ON WAGES.**

**2AC Frontline - Capitalism Kritik Answers**

- 1. TURN - ONLY CAPITALISM CAN SOLVE WARMING.**
- 2. PERMUTATION - do both the PLAN and the KRITIK. This solves both the HARMS of the 1AC and the IMPACTS of capitalism.**



**3. NO ALTERNATIVE: GOVERNMENT IS GOOD – IT’S THE ONLY WAY TO PREVENT THE WORST EXCESSES OF CAPITALISM.**

**3. CASE OUTWEIGHS –**

**4. TURN – TRANSITION TO COMMUNIST SYSTEMS RAMPS UP RESOURCE CONSUMPTION AND POLLUTION TURNS THE KRITIK.**

## **2AC Frontline - TOPICALITY “Permanent Residence”**

### **Answers**

**1. WE MEET – our PLAN text specifically says we “remove all restrictions on legal immigration.” Evaluate the TOPICALITY of the PLAN text in vacuum. We have SOLVENCY advocates that show that all our advantages follow from removing these restrictions.**

**2. COUNTER INTERPRETATION: “LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION” REFERS TO WHO MAY ENTER, HOW LONG THEY STAY, AND WHEN THEY LEAVE**

**3. COUNTER-STANDARDS:**

**A. GROUND – our INTERPRETATION provides the clearest GROUND at the core of the topic because we include H-1B, agricultural worker visas, and student visas, which are the center of public controversy for immigration policy. We preserve NEGATIVE GROUND. Our AFFIRMATIVE makes it easy for them to LINK all the core of the topic DISADVANTAGES: Trump Base, Wages, and Brain Drain. Prefer big AFFIRMATIVES like ours to small AFFIRMATIVES that their INTERPRETATION pushes for.**

**B. LIMITS – our INTERPRETATION is a better LIMIT because it is in the contest of immigration LAW, not just “immigration.” This context is important for any interpretation of the topic and provides a clear LIMIT.**

**4. They say “EXTRA TOPICALITY” – no part of our AFFIRMATIVE is EXTRA TOPICAL. In the world of the AFFIRMATIVE, there are no restrictions on permanent residence therefore everyone has this status if they want it. Our AFFIRMATIVE is within their LIMITS on the topic. We are just a large reform of legal immigration, all their research on immigration applies to our AFFIRMATIVE. There’s no IMPACT.**

**5. TOPICALITY is not a voting issue – you should use REASONABILITY in evaluating whether the AFFIRMATIVE is REASONABLY TOPICAL and whether our INTERPRETATION creates REASONABLE LIMITS for the topic.**

**1AR Answers to TOPICALITY = Permanent Residence – Extension to COUNTER-INTERPRETATION**

Extend our 2AC COUNTER-INTERPRETATION and our Cornell Law School Legal Information Institute 2017 evidence that says that restrictions on legal immigration means deciding who gets to come, how long they get to stay, and when they leave. First, it's better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author's name)

**2 LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENCE IS ONLY A SUBSET OF “LEGAL IMMIGRATION”**

**3 LEGAL IMMIGRATION INCLUDES MORE THAN JUST LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENCE – HERE'S ANOTHER PROFESSOR AND EXPERT**

**NEGATIVE – Open Borders – Version 1 (Beginners)**

**1NC – HARMS (Economy) Frontline**

- 1. NO LABOR SHORTAGE – THERE'S A LOW LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE.**
- 2. PLAN CAN'T SOLVE INFLATION – TRADE WAR WITH CHINA, TAX CUTS, AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING ARE ALL ALTERNATIVE CAUSES**
- 3. NO SOLVENCY - MORE DRIVERS WON'T SOLVE – LOW WAGES ENSURE HIGH TURNOVER.**

**1NC – HARMS (Inequality) Frontline**

**DEMOCRACY TURN – OPEN BORDERS WEAKEN THE DEMOCRATIC NATION STATE WHICH FUELS INEQUALITY**

**1NC – SOLVENCY Frontline**

**NO SOLVENCY - OPEN BORDERS IN THE US CAN NEVER SOLVE GLOBAL INEQUALITY.**

**2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Extension to 1NC “PLAN can't solve inequality”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Economy) – Extension to 1NC “No labor shortage”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Economy) – Extension to 1NC**

**“PLAN can’t solve inflation”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Economy) – Extension to 1NC**

**“More drivers won’t SOLVE”**

**2NC/1NR HARMS (Inequality) – Extensions to  
Democracy Turn**

2. THE PLAN LEADS TO MASSIVE POPULATION GROWTH THAT CRUSHES DEMOCRACY AND FUELS INEQUALITY.
3. IMMIGRATION FUELS POPULIST BACKLASH BECAUSE OF DEEPLY EMBEDDED RACISM THAT DESTROYS DEMOCRACY – THAT MAKES US FAR WORSE IN THE LONG TERM

# **Open Borders – Version 2 (Advanced)**

## **AFFIRMATIVE**

### **1AC - PLAN**

**PLAN: THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD ESTABLISH OPEN BORDERS BY REMOVING ALL LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION.**

### **1AC - Advantage 1 (HARMS) – Racism**

**LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION ARE ROOTED IN RACISM. IMMIGRATION RESTRICTIONS RESULT IN RACISM AND DISCRIMINATION. OPEN BORDERS SOLVE WE HAVE A MORAL OBLIGATION TO REJECT RACISM IN EVERY INSTANCE.**

### **1AC - Advantage 2 (HARMS) – Global Warming**

**LACK OF POLITICAL WILL IS THE BIGGEST BARRIER TO LIMITING WARMING TO 1.5 DEGREES. IN THE STATUS QUO THE US IS UNLIKELY TO ADDRESS CLIMATE CHANGE BECAUSE OTHER COUNTRIES BEAR THE COST. ONLY OPEN BORDERS CREATES THE POLITICAL SHIFT NECESSARY TO CREATE MEANINGFUL ACTION. US ACTION IS KEY TO SOLVE WARMING WARMING CAUSES EXTINCTION---TRIGGERS TIPPING POINTS AND POSITIVE FEEDBACKS WHICH MAKE THE PLANET UNINHABITABLE**

### **1AC – SOLVENCY**

**SMALLER REFORMS WILL ENSURE INCREASED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. ONLY COMPLETELY REMOVING LEGAL RESTRICTIONS SPILLS OVER TO BROADER PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS. GLOBALIZATION BENEFITS ALL NATIONS. RETREAT FROM GLOBALIZATION WILL HURT THE POOR.**

### **1AC Advantage 3 – HARMS (Human Rights)**

**US HUMAN RIGHTS GET MODELED GLOBALLY AND STOP HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN REPRESSIVE REGIMES.  
PROTECTING HUMAN RIGHTS PREVENTS NUCLEAR WAR  
UNDERMINING HUMAN RIGHTS LEADS TO VIOLATIONS THAT RESULT IN GENOCIDE.  
HUMAN RIGHTS COME FIRST – THERE IS A MORAL OBLIGATION TO PROTECT RIGHTS.**

## **2AC/1AR – Open Borders Version 2**

### **(Advanced) AFFIRMATIVE**

#### **2AC SOLVENCY – ANSWERS TO 1NC “Legal system discriminates”**

They say that the legal system will still discriminate after the PLAN, but...

#### **2AC SOLVENCY – ANSWERS TO 1NC “Citizenship barriers too high”**

They say that the barriers to citizenship will remain too high, but...

#### **2AC HARMS (Racism) – ANSWERS TO 1NC “Nativist backlash”**

They say that PLAN causes a nativist backlash, but...

2. WE CAN'T BE AFRAID TO DO WHAT'S RIGHT BECAUSE OF RACISTS - IMMIGRATION RESTRICTIONS ARE IMMORAL AND CAUSE PREVENTABLE DEATHS.

#### **2AC HARMS (Global Warming) – ANSWERS TO 1NC “Too late”**

They say that it's too late to SOLVE global warming, but...

2. WARMING CAN STILL BE STOPPED—WE MUST ACT BEFORE 2020.
3. POLITICAL WILL IS THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR TO MANAGE GLOBAL WARMING BY 2050

#### **1AR HARMS (Global Warming) – Answers to “It's too late”**

They say, “it’s too late to SOLVE global warming,” but group their responses.

2. ANY RISK THAT WARMING CAN BE STOPPED MEANS YOU VOTE AFFIRMATIVE. DELAYING ACTION CAUSES MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF SUFFERING, CONFLICT, AND DEATH.

## **2AC HARMS (Global Warming) – Answers to 1NC**

### **Growth Turn**

They say that we cause economic growth that leads to global warming, but...

1. ECONOMIC GROWTH IS NOT INCOMPATIBLE WITH STOPPING CLIMATE CHANGE
2. The PLAN solves their growth argument – their evidence is in the context of the current economic system. Our Hickel 2018 evidence indicates that open borders create massive pressure on the U.S. to act to the point that it will actually change the economic system to save ourselves. That changes how growth works.
3. ECONOMIC GROWTH IS KEY TO REDUCE POVERTY – HISTORY PROVES.

## **1AR HARMS (Global Warming) – Answers to**

### **Growth Turn**

They say, “we cause growth, which leads to more global warming,” but group their responses.

2. WARMING CAN BE SOLVED WITH GROWTH. THEIR EVIDENCE IS AN INDICT OF STATUS QUO GROWTH, WITHOUT THE POLITICAL WILL TO ADDRESS WARMING.

## **2AC HARMS (Global Warming) – ANSWERS TO 1NC**

### **“Technology can’t SOLVE Global Warming”**

They say that technology can’t solve global warming, but...

2. STEADY ROLLOUT OF TECHNOLOGY IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN A SINGLE INNOVATION TO SOLVE.

## **2AC HARMS (Human Rights) – ANSWERS TO 1NC**

### **“Trump kills human rights credibility”**

They say that Trump has killed our human rights credibility, but...

**2. THE PLAN SOLVES – INTERNATIONAL SKEPTICISM OF TRUMP MEAN THAT REVERSALS OF HIS POLICIES SOLVE HUMAN RIGHTS LEADERSHIP.**

### **2AC HARMS (Human Rights) – ANSWERS TO 1NC** **“Other countries will lead”**

**They say that other countries will lead on human rights, but...**

**2. STATUS QUO DOESN'T SOLVE – U.S. LEADERSHIP IS KEY. IMMIGRATION IS A STARTING POINT.**

### **1AR HARMS (Human Rights) Answers to “Trump kills credibility”**

**They say that Trump kills our human rights credibility, but group their responses.**

**1. TRUMP DOESN'T HARM U.S. LEADERSHIP FOREVER. HIS DAMAGE CAN BE REVERSED BY THE PLAN.**  
**2. They say ALTERNATIVE causes and point to Guantanamo, but the biggest issue now is immigration.**

**A) Our Green 2017 evidence specifically isolates international perception of Trump's immigration policy.**

**B) Our Byman 2018 evidence indicates that Trump's restrictions on immigrations fuel international skepticism because fewer people migrate and gain complex views. That means that the PLAN is key.**

**3. ACTION NOW IS KEY – BROADER ACTION BY THE US GOVERNMENT CAN COUNTERACT TRUMP'S IMPACT ON US LEADERSHIP.**

### **2AC Frontline - Capitalism KRITIK Answers**

**1. TURN - ONLY CAPITALISM CAN SOLVE GLOBAL WARMING.**  
**2. PERMUTATION - do both the PLAN and the KRITIK. This solves both the HARMS of the 1AC and the IMPACTS of capitalism.**



3. **TURN – TRANSITION TO COMMUNIST SYSTEMS RAMPS UP RESOURCE CONSUMPTION AND POLLUTION TURNS THE KRITIK. IT’S UNIQUELY BAD AND CAUSES EXTINCTION.**
4. **NO ALTERNATIVE: SOLVING RACISM THROUGH THE PLAN MUST COME BEFORE THE ALTERNATIVE BECAUSE FAILURE TO DO SO RESULTS IN RACIST SOCIALISM.**
5. **CASE OUTWEIGHS –**

### **2AC Frontline – Trump Base DISADVANTAGE**

#### **Answers**

1. **THEIR INTERNAL LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP LOST A THIRD OF HIS BASE AND DID NOT LASH OUT.**
2. **THEIR LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP BACKED DOWN ON SEPARATING FAMILIES AND THE IMPACT OF THE DISADVANTAGE DIDN’T HAPPEN.**
3. **ECONOMY TURN - THE ECONOMY HAS FINALLY RECOVERED IN AREAS WHERE TRUMP’S BASE LIVES. HIS ECONOMIC PROMISES WERE KEY TO BASE SUPPORT.**
- 4- **NO LINK – CONSERVATIVE MEDIA INSULATES TRUMP FROM CRITICISM.**
5. **THE PLAN TURNS THEIR LINK AND SOLVES THE DISADVANTAGE – LAGGING POPULATION GROWTH CAUSES A CASCADE OF ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES THAT RESULTS IN A DECREASE IN SPENDING, WHICH FUELS JOB LOSSES. THAT IS BAD FOR RURAL AREAS WHERE TRUMP’S BASE IS CONCENTRATED.**

### **2AC Frontline – Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE**

#### **Answers**

1. **TURN - PLAN SOLVES – OPEN BORDERS ARE KEY TO ENSURE KNOWLEDGE CIRCULATION THAT SOLVES BRAIN DRAIN.**
2. **BRAIN CIRCULATION - MIGRANTS REMAIN CONNECTED TO THEIR HOME COUNTRIES WHICH ENSURES DEVELOPMENT THROUGH INVESTMENT AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING**
3. **THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - TERRORISM IS A BIGGER AND MORE PROBABLE CAUSE OF WAR IN SOUTH ASIA AND THE PLAN HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF SOLVENCY.**

### **2AC Frontline – Wages DISADVANTAGE Answers**

1. **TURN - OPENING BORDERS FUELS EXPORTS, DRIVES UP WAGES, AND INCREASES GROWTH.**

2. **TURN - WITHOUT THE PLAN THE TRUCKING SHORTAGE CAUSES A RISE IN INFLATION – THAT’S THE WASHINGTON POST 2018 EVIDENCE. THAT CRUSHES WAGE GROWTH.**
3. **PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK – THEIR BIVENS EVIDENCE SAYS THE PRIMARY CONSTRAINT ON GROWTH IS SLOW SPENDING. IMMIGRATION IS KEY TO SOLVE.**
4. **Their IMPACT is NOT UNIQUE - Extend the US News and World Report 2017 evidence – the STATUS QUO crushes economic growth because Trump’s policies kill labor force growth which accounts for half of all growth. That’s an ALTERNATIVE cause to the DISADVANTAGE’S IMPACT.**
5. **LINK TURN - OPEN BORDERS INCREASE WAGES BY RAISING VALUE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE**

### **1AR – Answers To – Wages DISADVANTAGE – Extension to 2AC “Plan solves INTERNAL LINK – Spending”**

Extend our 2AC White 2017 evidence that says that immigrants increase spending, which boosts the economy. First, it’s better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author’s name)

2 **PLAN SOLVES THE INTERNAL LINK – IMMIGRANTS ARE KEY TO CONSUMER SPENDING.**

### **2AC Frontline – TOPICALITY “Permanent Residence” Answers**

1. **WE MEET – our PLAN text specifically says we “remove all restrictions on legal immigration.” Evaluate the TOPICALITY of the PLAN text in a vacuum. We have SOLVENCY advocates that show that all our advantages follow from removing these restrictions.**
2. **COUNTER INTERPRETATION: “LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION” REFERS TO WHO MAY ENTER, HOW LONG THEY STAY, AND WHEN THEY LEAVE**
3. **COUNTER-STANDARDS:**
  - A. **GROUND – our INTERPRETATION provides the clearest GROUND at the core of the topic because we include H-1B, agricultural worker visas, and student visas, which are the center of public controversy for**

**immigration policy. We preserve NEGATIVE GROUND. Our AFFIRMATIVE makes it easy for them to LINK all the core of the topic DISADVANTAGES: Trump Base, Wages, and Brain Drain. Prefer big AFFIRMATIVES like ours to small AFFIRMATIVES that their INTERPRETATION pushes for.**

**B. LIMITS – our INTERPRETATION is a better LIMIT because it is in the context of immigration LAW, not just “immigration.” This context is important for any interpretation of the topic and provides a clear LIMIT.**

**4. They say “EXTRA TOPICALITY” – no part of our AFFIRMATIVE is EXTRA TOPICAL. In the world of the AFFIRMATIVE, there are no restrictions on permanent residence therefore everyone has this status if they want it. Our AFFIRMATIVE is within their LIMITS on the topic. We are just a large reform of legal immigration, all their research on immigration applies to our AFFIRMATIVE. There’s no IMPACT.**

**5. TOPICALITY is not a voting issue – you should use REASONABILITY in evaluating whether the AFFIRMATIVE is REASONABLY TOPICAL and whether our INTERPRETATION creates REASONABLE LIMITS for the topic.**

**1AR – TOPICALITY = Permanent Residence –  
Extension to COUNTER-INTERPRETATION**

Extend our 2AC COUNTER-INTERPRETATION and our Cornell Law School Legal Information Institute 2017 evidence that says that restrictions on legal immigration means deciding who gets to come, how long they get to stay, and when they leave. First, it's better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

(Put their author's name)

**2 LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENCE IS ONLY A SUBSET OF “LEGAL IMMIGRATION”**

**3 LEGAL IMMIGRATION INCLUDES MORE THAN JUST LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENCE – HERE'S ANOTHER PROFESSOR AND EXPERT**

**NEGATIVE – Open Borders – Version 2  
(Advanced)**

**1NC – SOLVENCY Frontline**

**1. THE STRUCTURE OF US LAW DENIES LEGAL IMMIGRANTS RIGHTS. EVEN IF THEY REMOVE RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION AND LET EVERYONE IN, THOSE IMMIGRANTS WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE DISCRIMINATORY LAWS OF THE U.S., WHICH ENSURES CONTINUED RIGHTS VIOLATIONS.**

**2. PLAN FAILS – IT CAN'T LOWER BARRIERS TO ACCESSING CITIZENSHIP.**

**2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Extension to 1NC “Legal system discriminates”**

**2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – Extension to 1NC “Citizen barriers too high”**

**1NC – HARMS (Racism) Frontline**

**BACKLASH TURN – THE PLAN TRIGGERS A NATIVIST BACKLASH THAT INCREASES RACISM AND A ROLLBACK OF THE PLAN'S OPENING OF BORDERS**

**2NC/1NR Harms (Racism) – Extension to 1NC “Nativist Backlash”**

2. ANTI-IMMIGRANT SENTIMENTS ARE DEEPLY INGRAINED IN AMERICA, THE PLAN TRIGGERS BACKLASH.

### **1NC – Harms (Global Warming) Frontline**

1. TOO LATE TO SOLVE – WE’RE PAST THE TIPPING POINT AND GLOBAL WARMING IS LOCKED IN.
2. TECHNOLOGY CAN’T SOLVE GLOBAL WARMING
3. GROWTH TURN –
  - A. PLAN CAUSES MASSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH.
  - B. ECONOMIC GROWTH DRASTICALLY INCREASES GLOBAL WARMING.

### **2NC/1NR HARMS (Global Warming) – “Too Late to Solve”**

2. FEEDBACK LOOPS ARE IN EFFECT– MEANS GLOBAL WARMING IS NOW SELF-REINFORCING.

### **2NC/1NR HARMS (Global Warming) – “Tech Can’t Solve”**

2. TECHNOLOGY HAS NO SOLUTION TO GLOBAL WARMING IN SIGHT AND NATIONS WON’T SPEND MONEY ON IT

### **2NC/1NR HARMS (Global Warming) – Growth Turn**

#### **1NC – HARMS (Human Rights) Frontline**

1. NO SOLVENCY – TRUMP CAUSES IRREPARABLE HARM TO US LEADERSHIP.
2. STATUS QUO SOLVES – DECLINE OF AMERICAN LEADERSHIP HAS LED TO NEW LEADERS THAT CAN SOLVE HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES.

### **2NC/1NR HARMS (Human Rights) – Extension to “Trump kills credibility”**

2. NO SOLVENCY – TRUMP’S FOREIGN POLICY SUPPORTS ALLIES THAT VIOLATE HUMAN RIGHTS
3. ALTERNATIVE CAUSES – GUANTANAMO BAY AND VIOLATIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS CAUSE CREDIBILITY LOSSES THE PLAN CAN’T SOLVE.

### **2NC/1NR HARMS (Human Rights) – Extension to “Other countries will lead”**

## **Refugees AFFIRMATIVE**

### **1AC - PLAN**

**PLAN: THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS SHOULD SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THE NUMBER OF REFUGEES ADMITTED THROUGH THE UNITED STATES REFUGEE ADMISSIONS PROGRAM, AMEND THE IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT (INA) BY REMOVING THE TIER III DESIGNATION FOR TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS, EXPEDITE SCREENING, AND ADMIT REFUGEES AS LONG-TERM PERMANENT RESIDENTS (LPRs).**

### **1AC – Contention 1 (INHERENCY)**

**THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IS EXPANDING THE DEFINITION AND USE OF TIER III TERRORIST EXCLUSIONS AGAINST REFUGEES.**  
THAT'S PART OF THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S EFFORTS TO WEAKEN AND END THE REFUGEE PROGRAM.

### **1AC – Contention 2 (SOLVENCY)**

PLAN IS KEY TO STRENGTHENING THE RESETTLEMENT PROGRAM. THE U.S. CAN RESETTLE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF REFUGEES. REMOVING TIER III DESIGNATION FOR TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS ENSURES REFUGEES WITH LEGITIMATE CLAIMS CAN GET INTO THE US.

### **1AC - Advantage 1 (HARMS) – Everyday Violence**

Next is Advantage 1, HARMS – Everyday Violence. STATUS QUO restrictions result in preventable deaths - FIRST, LONG SCREENING TIMES FOR REFUGEES RESULT IN INADEQUATE HEALTH CARE. SECOND, RESTRICTIONS ON REFUGEES RESULT IN GENDER BASED VIOLENCE. INDIFFERENCE IN THE FACE OF DEMANDS FOR HELP FROM REFUGEES IS A FORM OF ONTOLOGICAL AND EXISTENTIAL VIOLENCE THAT DEHUMANIZES AND MAKES REFUGEES DISPOSABLE OUR IMPACT IS LARGER THAN YOU THINK – REFUGEE POLICY IS MODELED BY OTHER NATIONS

**WE HAVE A CLEAR MORAL IMPERATIVE TO HELP REFUGEES AND  
REDUCE SUFFERING IN THE WORLD**

## **1AC - Advantage 2 (HARMS) – Soft Power**

**DECLINE IN US SOFT POWER CAUSES OTHER NATIONS TO REJECT  
BEING DEMOCRATIC**

**THE PLAN INCREASES AMERICAN SOFT POWER.**

**SOFT POWER IS KEY TO DEMOCRACY PROMOTION.**

**FAILURE TO INCREASE SOFT POWER RESULTS IN CONFLICT AND WAR.**

## **Refugees AFFIRMATIVE 2AC/1AR**

### **2AC SOLVENCY - “Circumvention”**

#### **Answer to 1NC**

They say “Trump uses administrative roadblocks to stop the plan, but...”

1. The PLAN solves - Their Hoffman 2018 evidence cites “extreme vetting policies” the PLAN streamlines the screening process and reduces waits. This means that the AFF is at least distinct from the STATUS QUO policy and preferable.

2. Trump can’t do that much – at best this argument reduces the number of people admitted, but the changes the AFF makes to the process such as eliminating Tier III designation reduces Trump’s discretion. That’s our Harvard Immigration & Refugee Law Clinic 2017. This means that we are at least better than the STATUS QUO and lead to a net reduction of structural violence.

3. BALANCE OF POWERS – THE PLAN GOES THROUGH CONGRESS, WHICH LIMITS TRUMP’S DISCRETION.

4. TRUMP WON’T OPPOSE A BIPARTISAN BILL – WHICH IS REQUIRED TO PASS CONGRESS.

### **1AR SOLVENCY “Circumvention” –**

#### **Answer to NEG**

They say Trump will circumvent the PLAN, but...

Group their arguments:

1. Circumvention is inevitable in the STATUS QUO, but the AFF weakens Trump’s ability to circumvent in three ways.

A- the PLAN eliminates the Tier III designation, which is a huge area of discretion. Extend our Harvard Immigration and Refugee Law Clinic 2017 evidence – it’s written by legal experts.

B- Congress acting against Trump reduces his discretionary power and Trump won’t oppose bipartisan consensus. Extend our Ford 2018 and Bacon 2018 evidence.

- C- the PLAN reduces vetting making it easier for refugees to get in.
- 2. This means that the PLAN is preferable to the STATUS QUO as you get less circumvention and more refugee admissions.

## **2AC SOLVENCY “No Funding”–**

### **Answer to 1NC**

They say “cutbacks to refugee resettlement funding” mean no we can’t solve, but...

- 1. Even if there are less resources for refugees, it’s better to let them in. Even if there are fewer resources for medical care as their Rosenberg 2018 evidence claims, it’s still better to be in a stable country away from the violence of refugee camps.
- 2. PLAN solves - The PLAN strengthens the refugee program. Our Kerwin 2018 evidence says that taking the steps in the PLAN is key to strengthen the resettlement program. It is better than their Rosenberg evidence because our source is more qualified. Rosenberg is a journalist, while Kerwin is an expert on immigration policy whose conclusion is part of a study on the program.
- 3. THE PLAN REDUCES VETTING STANDARDS, WHICH IS KEY TO ELIMINATE THE BACKLOG.
- 4. The PLAN solves these restrictions by A) easing family reunification and B) eliminating Tier III terrorist designation – that means the PLAN is still less restrictive than the STATUS QUO.
- 5. PLAN INCREASES REFUGEE ARRIVALS WHICH SOLVES FUNDING GAPS BY CREATING MORE FUNDING FOR REFUGEE SUPPORT ORGANIZATIONS

## **1AR SOLVENCY “No Funding”–**

### **Answer to NEG**

- 1. PLAN still solves a HARM - Refugees without enough resources in the U.S. are still better off than in refugee camps elsewhere.
- 2. Extend our Kerwin 2018 evidence, which cites a study and not just opinion, and our Torbati 2017 evidence – PLAN solves resettlement by reducing vetting, easing family reunion and reducing Tier III terrorist exclusions
- 3. Extend our Parker 2017 evidence – more refugees means more funding. Here’s more evidence:



4. PLAN LEADS TO MORE ARRIVALS THAN THE STATUS QUO - THAT MEANS WE SOLVE FUNDING FOR REFUGEE SUPPORT PROGRAMS
5. FUNDING IS IMPROVING BECAUSE OF MORE REFUGEE INTERVIEWS

## **2AC HARMS (Everyday Violence) - “Extinction Comes First” Answer to 1NC**

They say, “extinction comes first,” but...

1. Extend our Varela-Manograsso 2017 evidence. It says that our inaction in the face of refugees dehumanizes them and results in existential and ontological violence that is worse than death. This means that their Bostrom 2012 evidence is wrong because it assumes that life is an unqualified good.
2. NORMALIZED VIOLENCE SUCH AS A REFUGEE CRISIS IS A PRE-REQUISITE TO ALL MASS VIOLENCE

## **1AR HARMS (Everyday Violence) – “Extinction Comes First” Answer to NEG**

They say, “extinction comes first,” but group their arguments.

2. DEHUMANIZATION IS A PREREQUISITE TO NUCLEAR WAR – THIS MEANS OUR PLAN MUST COME FIRST

## **2AC HARMS (Everyday Violence) - “Moral Obligations are bad” Answer to 1NC**

They say, “moral obligations are bad,” but ...

2. MORAL OBLIGATIONS ARE GOOD – THEY MOTIVATE PROTEST AND DEFINE THE POLITICAL AGENDA.

## **2AC HARMS (Soft Power) - “No SOLVENCY” Answer to 1NC**

They say “no SOLVENCY because the U.S. abandoned leadership,” but...

1. Extend our 1AC Sciubba 2017 evidence. It’s better historical evidence than their Kerwin 2017 evidence because Sciubba has

empirical examples such as the US increasing acceptance of refugees in the 1990s which increased our soft power. That means that accepting more now leads to an increase, which is key to reverse the STATUS QUO. Their evidence never explicitly says that leaving the pact kills US influence and just says we left and need to collaborate more.

2. The United Nations is not key because our Lind 2017 evidence indicates that countries look to other countries' refugee policies as a model instead. That means other countries will look to the U.S. more than the particular treaties.

3. TRUMP'S HARM TO US SOFT POWER IS REVERSIBLE.

4- STRONG US REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT PROGRAM IS KEY TO REENERGIZING INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS.

## **2AC HARMS (Soft Power) - Terrorism**

### **TURN Answer to 1NC**

They say that soft power fuels terrorism, but ...

1. Soft Power solves terrorism – extend our Sciubba 2017 evidence. It says soft power is the key to fight terrorism, our expert is better qualified than their Matalin evidence because Sciubba is a professor of international relations, while their author is just a former advisor to Dick Cheney.

2. WE NEED A BALANCE OF SOFT AND HARD POWER TO FIGHT TERRORISM – THE PLAN IS KEY TO RESTORE BALANCE.

AND, DEMOCRACY SOLVES TERRORISM.

C. Increasing refugee resettlement is key to solve terrorism – three reasons.

## **2AC HARMS (Soft Power) “No**

### **IMPACT” Answer to 1NC**

They say “no IMPACT – democracy promotion fails,” but...

1. Their Larison 2012 evidence gives examples like Iraq and Libya which involved U.S. invasions. All examples focus on US foreign interventions.

2. OUR AFFIRMATIVE IS DIFFERENT BECAUSE IT LEADS BY EXAMPLE RATHER THAN INTERVENTION. CHANGING POLICY AT HOME IS KEY TO AN EFFECTIVE DEMOCRACY PROMOTION STRATEGY.

3. SOFT POWER APPROACH IS THE KEY TO EFFECTIVE DEMOCRACY PROMOTION.

## **2AC Refugees AFFIRMATIVE – Answers to Off-Case (DISADVANTAGES, TOPICALITY)**

## **2AC Frontline –Wages DISADVANTAGE Answers**

- 1. NON-UNIQUE – WAGES AREN'T INCREASING. THEIR DISADVANTAGE ATTEMPTS TO BLAME VULNERABLE REFUGEES, WHEN THE REAL CULPRIT IS CORPORATE POWER.**
- 2. TURN - REFUGEES INCREASE WAGES AND ARE GREAT FOR THE ECONOMY.**
- 3. NO LINK – Their own LINK evidence admits that refugees had positive impact on wages for other workers, balancing the impact on the economy.**
- 4 NO IMPACT - THEY SAY ECONOMIC DECLINE CAUSES WAR – THAT'S EMPIRICALLY DENIED**

## **2AC Frontline – Trump Base DISADVANTAGE**

- 1. NO LINK – CONSERVATIVE MEDIA INSULATES TRUMP FROM CRITICISM.**
- 2. THE INTERNAL LINK IS NOT UNIQUE – TRUMP LOST A THIRD OF HIS BASE AND DID NOT LASH OUT.**
- 3. NO IMPACT - MILITARY CHAIN OF COMMAND WILL PREVENT TRUMP FROM ILLEGALLY USING NUCLEAR WEAPONS.**
- 4. THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - EVEN IF THEY WIN THAT CHECKS FAIL, THE RUSSIA INVESTIGATION IS A HUGE ALTERNATIVE CAUSE TO DIVERSIONARY WAR.**

## **1AR Answer to – Trump Base DISADVANTAGE – Extension to “Military checks Trump nuke war”**

Extend our Sollenberger 2017 evidence that says the military will prevent Trump from launching a nuclear war. It's better than their \_\_\_\_\_ evidence because...

- 2. NO IMPACT - CHECKS AND BALANCES PREVENT DIVERSIONARY WAR.**

## **2AC Frontline –Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE Answers**

- 1. TURN - BRAIN DRAIN IS GOOD - MIGRANTS REMAIN CONNECTED TO THEIR HOME COUNTRIES WHICH ENSURES DEVELOPMENT THROUGH INVESTMENT AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING**
- 2. NO INTERNAL LINK – INDIA'S ECONOMY HAS TOO MANY WORKERS AND TOO FEW JOBS.**
- 3. THEIR IMPACT IS NOT UNIQUE - TERRORISM IS A BIGGER AND MORE PROBABLE CAUSE OF WAR IN SOUTH ASIA AND THE PLAN HAS THE BEST CHANCE OF SOLVENCY.**

## **2AC Frontline Capitalism KRITIK Answers**

- 1. NO LINK – charities and media already use refugees to make money. The AFFIRMATIVE is an action that would drastically reduce the number of refugees and their suffering. We don't make the STATUS QUO any worse, only better.**
- 2. NO LINK - ERASING SUFFERING IS WORSE – WE NEED TO CONFRONT THE HORROR OF OUR POLITICAL INACTION TOWARD REFUGEES IN ORDER TO CHANGE ANYTHING**
- 3. PERMUTATION - do both. We can resist capitalism and also realize that only the state can solve the refugee crisis that is tied in with global poverty. The KRITIK cannot change these conditions – only having the PLAN gives us a political solution that helps us take actions that are more ethical than the STATUS QUO under capitalism.**
- 4. TURN - CAPITALISM IS GOOD – IT'S KEY TO SOLVE POVERTY.**
- 5. NO ALTERNATIVE: GOVERNMENT IS GOOD - ONLY POLITICAL ACTION CAN SOLVE THE REFUGEE CRISIS.**
- 6. NO ALTERNATIVE - WE HAVE THE RESOURCES TO HELP, JUST NOT THE WILL – WE NEED THE PLAN BECAUSE NO ONE ELSE CAN SOLVE THIS PROBLEM BUT WEALTHY NATIONS LIKE THE U.S.**

## **2AC Frontline TOPICALITY = Visas vs. Refugees**

- 1. WE MEET – “LEGAL IMMIGRATION” INCLUDES REFUGEES**
- 2. COUNTER INTERPRETATION: “LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON IMMIGRATION” REFERS TO WHO MAY ENTER, HOW LONG THEY STAY, AND WHEN THEY LEAVE**
- 3. COUNTER-STANDARDS:**
  - A. GROUND\_ – our INTERPRETATION provides the clearest GROUND at the core of the topic because we include H-1B, agricultural worker visas, and student visas which are the center of public controversy for immigration policy.**
  - B. LIMITS – our INTERPRETATION is a better LIMIT because it is in the contest of immigration LAW, not just “immigration.” This context is important for any interpretation of the topic and provides a clear LIMIT. Their LIMIT is arbitrary and self-serving because it**

**doesn't provide a clear threshold for determining whether or not an AFFIRMATIVE is TOPICAL**

**4. They say extra TOPICALITY – they OVERLIMIT the topic. The only reason that we're extra TOPICAL is because they arbitrarily chose one aspect of US immigration regulation and made it the entire topic.**

**5. They say PREDICTABILITY – They OVERLIMIT the topic which kills education by preventing us from engaging with key areas of public policy. You can't expect to debate an immigration topic and not be ready to debate refugees – it's central to all the literature about immigration.**

**6. TOPICALITY is not a voting issue – you should use REASONABILITY in evaluating whether the AFFIRMATIVE is REASONABLY TOPICAL and whether our INTERPRETATION creates REASONABLE LIMITS for the topic.**

### **1AR TOPICALITY Answers to Visas vs. Refugees – Extension to “We Meet”**

**Extend our 2AC WE MEET argument and our Duignan 2013 evidence that says that refugees are a part of legal immigration. First, it's better than their \_\_\_Cuciniello\_\_\_ evidence because...**

(Put their author's name)

**2 LEGAL IMMIGRATION INCLUDES REFUGEES**

**3 LEGAL IMMIGRATION INCLUDES REFUGEES – HERE'S ANOTHER PROFESSOR AND EXPERT**

## **Refugees NEGATIVE**

### **1NC – SOLVENCY Frontline**

**1. CIRCUMVENTION – TRUMP JUST USES ADMINISTRATIVE OBSTACLES TO PREVENT ADMISSIONS.**

**2. THE TRAVEL BAN DECISION EMBOLDENS TRUMP – IT MEANS HE WILL CIRCUMVENT THE PLAN.**

3. NO FUNDING – THE ADMINISTRATION GUTTED RESOURCES FOR THE REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT PROGRAM, WHICH MEANS THEY CAN'T SOLVE.

## **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY Circumvention Extension to 1NC**

It's better than their evidence talking generally about the resettlement program, while our evidence is specifically in the context of presidential powers related to immigration.

They say that the PLAN solves because it reduces vetting, but that doesn't matter because Trump has broad authority to increase it on his own

2. TRUMP IS GETTING BETTER AT USING ADMINISTRATIVE BARRIERS – MEANS HE CAN EFFECTIVELY KILL THE PLAN FROM BEHIND THE SCENES.
3. NO CHECKS ON TRUMP – HE HAS MASSIVE DISCRETION ON IMMIGRATION POLICY.

## **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – “Travel ban increases Trump's power” Extension to 1NC**

2. THE TRAVEL BAN SUPREME COURT DECISION ONLY INCREASES TRUMP'S AUTHORITY.

## **2NC/1NR SOLVENCY – “No resettlement funding” Extension to 1NC**

1. BUDGET CUTS GUT RESETTLEMENT FUNDING – PLAN CAN'T SOLVE.

## **1NC – HARMS (Everyday Violence) Frontline**

1. YOU SHOULD EVALUATE OUR IMPACTS FIRST - HUMAN EXTINCTION OUTWEIGHS EVERYDAY VIOLENCE.
2. MORAL OBLIGATIONS ARE BAD – THEY LEAD TO PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR MILITARY INTERVENTION.
3. THIS TURNS THEIR HARMS BECAUSE ENDING MILITARY INTERVENTION IS THE BEST WAY TO SOLVE THE REFUGEE CRISIS.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Everyday Violence) Extensions to 1NC – “Extinction comes first”**

1. They say, “everyday violence spills up”, but that doesn’t mean it’s worse than extinction, rather you should prevent the most probable causes of extinction and that you should vote for the team that saves the most lives.
2. EXTINCTION IS LIKELY NOW BECAUSE OF NUCLEAR WAR – WE WIN ON PROBABILITY.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Everyday Violence) Extensions to 1NC – “Moral obligations are bad”**

2. MORAL OBLIGATIONS DRIVE MILITARY INTERVENTION – IT’S KEY TO OVERCOME PARTISANSHIP.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Everyday Violence) Extensions to 1NC – “War leads to more refugees”**

### **1NC HARMS (Soft Power) Frontline**

1. NO SOLVENCY: THE U.S. HAS ALREADY ABANDONED U.N REFUGEE PACT AND CAN’T BE A LEADER
2. TURN: SOFT POWER CAUSES TERRORISM.
3. NO IMPACT: DEMOCRACY PROMOTION FAILS.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Soft Power) Extensions to 1NC – “NO SOLVENCY”**

Extend our 1NC Kerwin 2017 evidence which says that the U.S. has already ruined our chance to be a refugee leader by turning its back on the U.N. refugee pact.

1. They say that the PLAN solves because taking more refugees has increased soft power. However, the examples in their Sciubba evidence are from when the US was in the U.N. refugee pact that we’ve now turned our back on. That means that their evidence is out of date and no longer applies.

2. **NO SOLVENCY: TRUMP’S WITHDRAWAL FROM THE UN MIGRATION TREATY TALKS MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE US TO LEAD THE WORLD ON REFUGEE ISSUES**
3. They say that Trump’s harm to US soft power is reversible, but their Byman evidence gives no reason why the harm of the policies can be reversed.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Soft Power)** **Extensions to 1NC Terrorism Turn**

2. THEIR EVIDENCE IS WRONG AND ROOTED IN ETHNOCENTRIC UNDERSTANDINGS OF AMERICA. THAT MEANS THAT IT IGNORES THE FAILURES OF SOFT POWER AND HOW IT FUELS RESENTMENT.
3. TERRORISM WILL GO NUCLEAR – IT CAN HAPPEN.
4. NUCLEAR TERRORISM SPARKS RETALIATORY ESCALATION THAT RESULTS IN NUCLEAR WAR.

## **2NC/1NR HARMS (Soft Power)** **Extensions to 1NC “NO IMPACT”**

Larison has empirical warrants to show the failures of democracy promotion, while their evidence simply speculates that people will want to copy the U.S.

even if the PLAN can promote democracy, we still win because democracy takes far too long. Our Larison evidence gives the example of the nation of Georgia in 2013, which after 9 years was still not a full electoral democracy and had less civil rights protection than before the revolution. That means that the terrorism caused by the increase in US soft power happens first.

2. EVEN IF THEY WIN THAT DEMOCRACY PROMOTION WORKS, DEMOCRACY FUELS TERRORISM.
3. NUCLEAR TERRORIST THREAT IS INCREASING NOW BECAUSE OF ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY THROUGH SCIENTISTS AND NORTH KOREA ON NUCLEAR BLACK MARKET
4. FOUR REASONS WHY NUCLEAR TERRORISM IS LIKELY NOW.

# **TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE - NEGATIVE**

## **1NC Trump Base DISADVANTAGE Shells**



**1NC vs. H-1B Visas (Specific) – TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE**

- A. UNIQUENESS - TRUMP'S BASE SUPPORT IS ON THE BRINK – IMMIGRATION POLICY IS KEY.
- B. LINK - TRUMP HAS SIGNALLED THAT HE WILL MAKE THE H-1B PROGRAM MORE RESTRICTIVE TO SOLIDIFY HIS BASE – THE PLAN LOSES THEIR SUPPORT.
- C. IMPACT - COLLAPSE OF BASE SUPPORT LEADS TO DIVERSIONARY NUCLEAR WAR.

**1NC vs. Open Borders (Specific) – TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE**

- A. UNIQUENESS - TRUMP'S BASE SUPPORT IS ON THE BRINK – IMMIGRATION POLICY IS KEY.
- B. LINK - TRUMP USES OPPOSITION TO OPEN BORDERS TO SHORE UP HIS BASE.
- C. IMPACT - COLLAPSE OF BASE SUPPORT LEADS TO DIVERSIONARY NUCLEAR WAR.

**1NC vs. Refugees (Specific) – TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE**

- A. UNIQUENESS - TRUMP'S BASE SUPPORT IS ON THE BRINK – IMMIGRATION POLICY IS KEY.
- B. LINK - TRUMP'S BASE HATES REFUGEES – PLAN CAUSES BACKLASH.
- C. IMPACT - COLLAPSE OF BASE SUPPORT LEADS TO DIVERSIONARY NUCLEAR WAR.

**1NC (General) TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE**

- A. UNIQUENESS - TRUMP'S BASE SUPPORT IS ON THE BRINK – IMMIGRATION POLICY IS KEY.
- B. LINK - ANTI-IMMIGRATION STANCE IS KEY TO TRUMP'S BASE. THE PLAN REVERSES THIS STANCE.
- C. IMPACT - COLLAPSE OF BASE SUPPORT LEADS TO DIVERSIONARY NUCLEAR WAR.

**2NC/1NR – TRUMP BASE DISADVANTAGE**

**2NC/1NR – General LINKS**

- THE PLAN WEAKENS TRUMP'S STANCE ON IMMIGRATION THAT ALIENATES HIS BASE.

TRUMP’S BASE PREFERS THE STATUS QUO LAWS WITH GREATER ENFORCEMENT TO MAJOR REFORM LIKE THE PLAN.  
AND, TRUMP’S BASE SUPPORTS CUTTING LEGAL IMMIGRATION IN HALF. THE PLAN INCREASES IMMIGRATION WHICH CAUSES THEM TO LEAVE TRUMP.

THE THRESHOLD FOR THE LINK IS LOW – EMPIRICALLY PROVEN THAT ANY ACTION IN FAVOR OF IMMIGRATION PROVOKES IMMEDIATE BACKLASH WHICH REQUIRES THAT TRUMP ROLLBACK THE POLICY. THAT MEANS EITHER A) PLAN DOESN’T SOLVE THEIR HARMS OR B) TRUMP’S BASE REVOLTS.

### **2NC/1NR LINK – H-1B Visas (Specific)**

TRUMP’S BASE SUPPORTS FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON H-1B PROGRAMS. THE PLAN REVERSES THIS STANCE.  
TRUMP’S BASE IS ANGRY AT H-1B VISAS. RESTRICTIONS ARE KEY TO APPEASE THEM.

### **2NC/1NR LINK – Open Borders (Specific)**

TRUMP’S BASE OPPOSES OPEN BORDERS – PREFER OUR EVIDENCE. BREITBART IS A PUBLICATION CONNECTED TO AND REPRESENTATIVE OF TRUMP’S BASE.  
DATA PROVES - TRUMP HAS CONSISTENTLY RILED UP HIS BASE USING FEAR OF OPEN BORDERS. THE PLAN IS HIS BASE’S WORST FEAR.

### **2NC/1NR LINK – Refugees (Specific)**

LINK- REFUGEE SETTLEMENTS ARE A KEY ISSUE FOR TRUMP’S BASE  
LINK - MASSIVE REFUGEE CUTS ARE KEY TO TRUMP’S BASE AND THEY’RE COMING IN STATUS QUO

### **2NC/1NR Trump Base DISADVANTAGE – UNIQUENESS Extensions**

CRITICISM OF TRUMP IN THE STATUS QUO HAS CAUSED HIS BASE TO DIG IN AND DEFEND TRUMP.  
THE KAVANAUGH SUPREME COURT NOMINATION LOCKS IN BASE SUPPORT FOR TRUMP  
TRUMP’S WHITE WORKING-CLASS VOTERS ARE MORE CONCERNED ABOUT IMMIGRATION THAN THE ECONOMY. THAT MEANS WE CONTROL THE DIRECTION OF THE LINK. HIS HARDLINE STANCE IS WORKING TO MAINTAIN THEIR SUPPORT NOW.  
CULTURAL ANXIETY—SPECIFICALLY ABOUT IMMIGRATION—IS KEY TO BASE VOTERS.

### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC – “Trump lost his base”**

**They say Trump lost 1/3 of his base, but...**

- 1. TRUMP IS MORE POPULAR THAN EVER WITH HIS BASE**
- 2. Even if Trump lost one third of his voters, he still has two thirds of his base, which means that the only action that triggers Trump going to war is one that loses more of his base. The PLAN alienates most of his base and the key elements of it.**
- 3. TRUMP BACKS DOWN UNDER PRESSURE TO SATISFY HIS BASE— DACA PROVES. EITHER A) THE PLAN LEADS TO A BACKLASH OR B) TRUMP ROLLS BACK THE PLAN AND THEY CAN'T SOLVE THE HARMS**

### **2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “NON UNIQUE: Family Separation”**

**They say the family separation issue proves the LINK is NOT UNIQUE, but ...**

- 2 FAMILY SEPARATION EXAMPLE GOES NEGATIVE - TRUMP BACKED DOWN ON SEPARATING FAMILIES BECAUSE IT WAS UNPOPULAR WITH HIS BASE.**

### **2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “NO LINK – Conservative media”**

**They say that conservative media prevents Trump's base from turning on him, but ...**

- 2. THEY SAY NO LINK BECAUSE CONSERVATIVE MEDIA SPINS THE PLAN, BUT THAT'S NOT TRUE BECAUSE CONSERVATIVE MEDIA RAISES THE ALARM AT MERE SPECULATION THAT TRUMP IS CONCEDING GROUND ON IMMIGRATION.**

### **2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “ALTERNATE CAUSE - Russia”**

**They say Russia is the only reason Trump would launch a diversionary war, but ...**

- 2. IMMIGRATION IS THE KEY ISSUE TO TRUMP'S BASE. THIS MEANS UNLESS THEIR ARGUMENTS ARE SPECIFICALLY ABOUT TRUMP'S IMMIGRATION POLICIES, THEY DON'T ANSWER OUR LINK**

### **2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “Checks and Balances”**

**They say there are checks and balances on Trump, but ...**

- 2 TRUMP HAS FINAL AND SOLE CONTROL OVER NUCLEAR WEAPONS. HE CAN LAUNCH THEM.**

**3 WE DON'T NEED TO WIN THAT TRUMP CAN LAUNCH NUKES ON A WHIM, RATHER WE JUST HAVE TO WIN THAT HE CAN START A WAR. THE PRESIDENT HAS NEAR UNLIMITED POWER TO WAGE WAR.**

### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC – “No war”**

**They say there won't be a war, but ...**

- 2. TRUMP IS EMPIRICALLY PROVEN TO USE DIVERSIONARY WAR**
- 3. TRUMP IS UNIQUELY POSITIONED TO USE DIVERSIONARY MILITARY FORCE—MULTIPLE WARRANTS.**

## **Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE – NEGATIVE**

### **1NC Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE Shells**

#### **Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE 1NC – H-1B Visas (Specific)**

- A. UNIQUENESS AND LINK - NEW RESTRICTIONS ON H-1B ENSURE RECIRCULATION OF WORKERS TO INDIA – THE PLAN REMOVES TIME LIMITS PREVENTING THIS.**
- B. IMPACT - THAT COLLAPSES THE INDIAN ECONOMY – THEY'RE UNIQUELY VULNERABLE TO LOSS OF HUMAN CAPITAL**
- 2. WEAKENING INDIAN GROWTH RISKS INDO-PAK NUCLEAR WAR AND COLLAPSE OF THE GLOBAL ECONOMY**

#### **Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE 1NC (General)**

- A. UNIQUENESS AND LINK - RESTRICTIONS ON LEGAL IMMIGRATION ARE DRIVING RECIRCULATION OF SKILLED WORKERS TO INDIA.**
- B. IMPACT - OPEN BORDERS COLLAPSE THE INDIAN ECONOMY – THEY'RE UNIQUELY VULNERABLE TO LOSS OF HUMAN CAPITAL.**
- 2. WEAKENING INDIAN GROWTH RISKS INDO-PAK NUCLEAR WAR AND COLLAPSE OF THE GLOBAL ECONOMY**

### **2NC/1NR – Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE**

#### **2NC/1NR General LINKS**

**COMPETITION FOR SKILLED WORKERS IS ZERO-SUM – EXPANDING LEGAL PATHWAYS DRAINS FOREIGN TALENT  
EVEN A SMALL LOSS OF HUMAN TALENT TRIGGERS RIPPLING ECONOMIC DAMAGE – IT'S A NEGATIVE MULTIPLIER**

#### **2NC/1NR LINKS to H-1B (Specific)**

**TRUMP’S HOSTILITY TOWARDS H-1B VISAS DRIVES RECIRCULATION OF WORKERS TO INDIA—THE PLAN REVERSES THAT.**

### **2NC/1NR Open Borders LINK Extensions (Specific)**

**Extend Srinivasan 2011 – even if only 5% of the people who want to emigrate leave under open borders, that’s enough to trigger economic collapse.**

**Extend Wadhwa 2018 – Trump’s anti-immigration policies fuel the return of workers to India. The PLAN reverses those and ensures people emigrate to the US. That means there’s massive brain drain in the world of the PLAN.**

### **2NC/1NR IMPACT Extensions**

**BRAIN DRAINS *UNIQUELY* SHIP-WRECKS DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND LONG-TERM GLOBAL GROWTH  
INDIA-PAKISTAN CONFLICT ESCALATES – NO CHECKS TO ALL-OUT NUCLEAR WAR**

### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC Remittances Turn**

**They say that remittances help the countries people emigrate from, but ...**

- 2. REMITTANCES FAIL TO REPLACE THE GROWTH LOST BY WORKERS’ DEPARTURE**
- 3. REMITTANCES CAN’T BALANCE THE LOSS OF HUMAN CAPITAL AND CAN’T MAKE UP FOR THE LOST GROWTH IN HOME COUNTRIES**
- 4. BRAIN DRAIN’S DISADVANTAGES OUTWEIGH BENEFITS OF REMITTANCES**

### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC Brain Circulation Turn**

**They say that immigrants go back and create brain circulation, but ...**

- 2. ANY BRAIN CIRCULATION IS MINIMAL.**
- 3. THE RISK OF THE LINK TURN IS LESS THAN TEN-PERCENT.**

### **2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “ALTERNATIVE causes to Indo-Pak Conflict”**

- 2. ECONOMIC STRENGTH IN THE STATUS QUO PREVENTS CONFLICT ESCALATION.**
- 3. ECONOMIC GROWTH IS KEY TO PEACEFUL INDIAN RISE. DECLINE CAUSES VIRULENT NATIONALISM**

## 2NC/1NR – Answer to 2AC “Indian economy doesn’t have jobs”

They say that “India’s economy is heading for decline and doesn’t have jobs,” but...

2. INDIAN ECONOMIC GROWTH STRONG NOW, BUT HOLDING OFF BRAIN DRAIN IS KEY
3. INDIAN GROWTH IS STRONG AND IMPROVING

# Wages DISADVANTAGE NEGATIVE

## 1NC Wages DISADVANTAGE Shells

### 1NC vs. H-1B (Specific) Wages DISADVANTAGE

- A. UNIQUENESS: WAGE GROWTH IS HIGH NOW BECAUSE OF A TIGHT LABOR MARKET
- B. LINK - INCREASED ‘HIGH SKILLED’ IMMIGRATION COLLAPSES WAGES
- C. INTERNAL LINK - HIGH WAGES ARE THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR GROWTH
- D. IMPACT - ECONOMIC-INDUCED DECLINE LEADS CAUSES NUCLEAR WAR

### 1NC vs. Open Borders (Specific) – Wages DISADVANTAGE

- A. UNIQUENESS: WAGE GROWTH IS HIGH NOW BECAUSE OF A TIGHT LABOR MARKET
- B. LINK - OPEN BORDERS CAUSE A RACE-TO-THE-BOTTOM IN WAGES, AND CIRCUMVENTS LIMITATIONS ON INEQUALITY
- C. INTERNAL LINK - HIGH WAGES ARE THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR GROWTH
- D. IMPACT - ECONOMIC-INDUCED DECLINE LEADS CAUSES NUCLEAR WAR

### 1NC vs. Refugees (Specific)– Wages DISADVANTAGE

- A. UNIQUENESS: WAGE GROWTH IS HIGH NOW BECAUSE OF A TIGHT LABOR MARKET
- B. LINK - REFUGEES HURT THE WAGES OF SIMILARLY SKILLED WORKERS IN THE U.S.
- C. INTERNAL LINK - HIGH WAGES ARE THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR GROWTH

**D. IMPACT - ECONOMIC-INDUCED DECLINE LEADS CAUSES  
NUCLEAR WAR**

### **1NC (General) WAGES DISADVANTAGE**

**A. UNIQUENESS - WAGE GROWTH IS HIGH NOW BECAUSE OF A  
TIGHT LABOR MARKET**

**B. LINK - INCREASED IMMIGRATION OVERSUPPLIES LABOR---  
COLLAPSES WAGES**

**C. INTERNAL LINK - HIGH WAGES ARE THE SINGLE MOST  
IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR GROWTH**

**D. IMPACT - ECONOMIC-INDUCED DECLINE LEADS CAUSES  
NUCLEAR WAR**

## **2NC/1NR – Wages DISADVANTAGE**

### **2NC/1NR LINKS to H-1B (Specific)**

**COMPANIES WILL USE H-1B VISAS TO DRIVE DOWN WAGES AND  
LAY-OFF HIGHER PAID WORKERS.**

**EMPIRICALLY PROVEN THAT INCREASING H-1B VISAS CAUSES A  
DECREASE IN WAGES.**

**H-1B WORKERS DRIVE DOWN WAGES, WITHOUT RAISING  
EMPLOYMENT OR INNOVATION.**

**INFLUX OF HIGH SKILLED IMMIGRANTS DRIVES DOWN WAGES AND  
ELIMINATES TONS OF JOBS—IT OUTWEIGHS THE LINK TURN.**

### **2NC/1NR LINKS to Open Borders (Specific)**

**OPEN BORDERS MEAN A 10% INCREASE IN WORKERS RESULTS IN  
3% DECREASE IN WAGES.**

**INCREASES IN IMMIGRATION DRIVE UP INEQUALITY BY REDUCING  
WAGES WHILE INCREASING CORPORATE PROFITS.**

### **2NC/1NR LINKS to Refugees (Specific)**

**REFUGEES CREATE SUPPLY SHOCKS THAT DRIVE DOWN WAGES FOR  
SIMILARLY SKILLED WORKERS.**

**REFUGEES HURT WAGES FOR THE LOWEST SKILLED WORKERS THE  
MOST.**

**STATUS QUO WAGE GROWTH IS STRONGEST FOR LOW INCOME  
WORKERS.**

**THE PLAN DRIVES DOWN WAGES FOR LOW SKILLED WORKERS.  
THOSE ARE KEY TO GROWTH**

### **2NC/1NR – UNIQUENESS Extensions**

**WAGE GROWTH IN JUNE WAS THE HIGHEST IN 2018. HIGH  
DEMAND FOR WORKERS COUPLED WITH LOW SUPPLY IS KEY.**

**DIRECTION OF STATUS QUO IS STRONGER WAGE GROWTH. TIGHT LABOR MARKET IS KEY.**

**4% WAGE GROWTH IS LIKELY IN THE STATUS QUO.**

### **2NC/1NR INTERNAL LINK Extensions**

**WAGES ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR TO DRIVE UP DEMAND.**

**WAGE GROWTH IS THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR BECAUSE ECONOMIC INEQUALITY CRUSHES GROWTH.**

### **2NC/1NR INTERNAL LINKS to Open Borders AFF (Specific)**

**STATUS QUO WAGE GROWTH IS STRONGEST FOR LOW INCOME WORKERS.**

**THE PLAN DRIVES DOWN WAGES FOR LOW SKILLED WORKERS.**

**THOSE ARE KEY TO GROWTH**

### **2NC/1NR - Answer to 2AC “Inflation crushes the economy”**

**They say that inflation is hurting the economy now, but**

**...**

**2. INFLATION IS TOO LOW. RISING RATES ARE GOOD FOR GROWTH.**

**3. INCREASING INFLATION WON'T CRUSH THE ECONOMY. IT'S GOOD FOR DEMAND.**

### **2NC/1NR - Answer to 2AC “TURN - Immigrants increase consumer spending”**

**They say “TURN – that immigrants increase consumer spending,” but ...**

**2. WAGE GROWTH OUTWEIGHS ALL OTHER FACTORS. IT'S THE INTERNAL LINK TO CONSUMER SPENDING, WHICH LEADS TO MORE HIRING AND HIGHER WAGES.**

**3. MASS IMMIGRATION INCREASES CREATE SUPPLY SHOCKS THAT DRIVE DOWN WAGES FOR SIMILARLY SKILLED WORKERS.**

### **2NC/1NR - Answer to 2AC “Economic decline doesn't cause war”**

**They say that economic decline doesn't cause war, but**

**...**

## **Capitalism KRITIK – NEGATIVE**

### **Capitalism KRITIK 1NC Shells**

#### **1NC vs. H-1B Visas (Specific) Capitalism KRITIK**



- A. LINK - H-1B VISAS ARE KEY TO THE MODERN CAPITALIST ECONOMY THAT ENSURES WORKER EXPLOITATION.**
- B. IMPACT - CAPITALISM ENSURES PLANETARY ECOLOGICAL COLLAPSE RESULTING IN EXTINCTION OF LIFE ON EARTH.**
- C. ALTERNATIVE - THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO REJECT THE PLAN AND ORIENT OURSELVES TOWARDS A TRANSNATIONAL SOCIALIST ALTERNATIVE THROUGH NETWORKED PRODUCER CONSUMER COOPERATIVES. THIS IS THE CRUCIAL STARTING POINT TO DISMANTLING CAPITALISM.**

### **1NC vs. Open Borders (Specific) Capitalism KRITIK**

- A. LINK - THE LOGIC OF OPEN BORDERS IS ROOTED IN CAPITALIST EXPLOITATION THAT PRESUMES THE EXISTING ECONOMIC ORDER IS BENEVOLENT. THAT LOCKS US INTO CAPITALIST COMMODIFICATION OF LIFE.**
- B. IMPACT - CAPITALISM ENSURES PLANETARY ECOLOGICAL COLLAPSE RESULTING IN EXTINCTION OF LIFE ON EARTH.**
- C. ALTERNATIVE - THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO REJECT THE PLAN AND ORIENT OURSELVES TOWARDS A TRANSNATIONAL SOCIALIST ALTERNATIVE THROUGH NETWORKED PRODUCER CONSUMER COOPERATIVES. THIS IS THE CRUCIAL STARTING POINT TO DISMANTLING CAPITALISM.**

### **1NC vs. Refugees (Specific) Capitalism KRITIK**

- A. LINK – THE 1AC’S CHARITABLE MORAL FEELING TOWARD REFUGEES ONLY SUPPORTS THE CAPITALIST SYSTEM THAT IS THE REASON FOR THEIR SUFFERING AND POVERTY**
- B. IMPACT - CAPITALISM ENSURES PLANETARY ECOLOGICAL COLLAPSE RESULTING IN EXTINCTION OF LIFE ON EARTH.**
- C. ALTERNATIVE - THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO REJECT THE PLAN AND ORIENT OURSELVES TOWARDS A TRANSNATIONAL SOCIALIST ALTERNATIVE THROUGH NETWORKED PRODUCER CONSUMER COOPERATIVES. THIS IS THE CRUCIAL STARTING POINT TO DISMANTLING CAPITALISM.**

### **1NC (General) Capitalism KRITIK**

- A. LINK - THE 1AC JUSTIFIES IMMIGRATION ON THE BASIS OF ITS BENEFIT TO THE US. THIS LOGIC UNDERWRITES GLOBAL CAPITALIST VIOLENCE THAT FORCES EMIGRATION IN ORDER TO DERIVE CAPITAL FROM DISPLACED PERSONS.**
- B. IMPACT - CAPITALISM ENSURES PLANETARY ECOLOGICAL COLLAPSE RESULTING IN EXTINCTION OF LIFE ON EARTH.**

**C. ALTERNATIVE - THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO REJECT THE PLAN AND ORIENT OURSELVES TOWARDS A TRANSNATIONAL SOCIALIST ALTERNATIVE THROUGH NETWORKED PRODUCER CONSUMER COOPERATIVES. THIS IS THE CRUCIAL STARTING POINT TO DISMANTLING CAPITALISM.**

## **2NC/1NR Capitalism KRITIK NEG LINKS**

### **2NC/1NR LINK Extensions – H-1B Visas (Specific)**

**GROWTH LINK - THE AFFIRMATIVE JUSTIFIES INCREASING IMMIGRATION BECAUSE OF A DESIRE FOR GROWTH THROUGH CAPITALIST EXPLOITATION**

**LABOR LINK - THE EMPHASIS ON IMMIGRANTS AS PRIMARILY LABOR LOCKS IN CAPITALIST LOGICS OF EXPLOITATION.**

### **2NC/1NR LINK Extensions – Open Borders (Specific)**

**DISPOSABLE LABOR LINK - THE AFFIRMATIVE'S ECONOMY ADVANTAGE FRAMES IMMIGRANTS AS NECESSARY FOR AMERICA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. THIS RHETORIC IS CENTRAL TO CAPITALISMS EXPLOITATION OF IMMIGRANTS.**

**WORKER EXPLOITATION LINK – THE PLAN IS CENTRAL TO CAPITALISM'S DRIVE TO ELIMINATE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WORKERS AND RENDER THEM EXCHANGEABLE.**

### **2NC/1NR LINK Extensions – Refugees (Specific)**

**They say that we cannot ignore images of refugee suffering, but ...**

- 1. Extend our Stall 2016 evidence, which indicates that images of refugees suffering fail to address the root cause and the moral feeling the AFFIRMATIVE argues for only supports the system of capitalism.**
- 2. Even if their Ingram evidence is right and suffering motivates action to help refugees in the moment, it doesn't change the structural reasons why there is so much suffering in our global economy.**
- 3. THEIR REPRESENTATIONS OF REFUGEE SUFFERING ARE KEY – NEOLIBERAL POLICY MAKERS USE THEM TO EXPLOIT AND COMMUNIFY THE MARGINALIZED**

## **2NC/1NR Capitalism KRITIK NEG IMPACTS**

### **2NC/1NR IMPACTS – Human rights**

CAPITALISM RENDERS HUMANS DISPOSABLE, RESULTING IN ENDLESS HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS – TURNS THE CASE.

### **2NC/1NR IMPACTS – War**

CAPITALISM ADDRESSES CONTRADICTIONS THROUGH WAR. THAT MAKES CONFLICT INEVITABLE IN THE STATUS QUO.

### **2NC/1NR IMPACTS – Global Warming**

ONLY SYSTEMIC CHANGE OF OUR ECONOMIC SYSTEMS CAN SOLVE WARMING. CAPITALISM ENSURES WARMING—TURNS THE AFFIRMATIVE.

## **2NC/1NR Answers to 2AC – Capitalism**

### **KRITIK NEGATIVE**

#### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC: “Capitalism solves global warming”**

DON'T BE FOOLED - “GREEN CAPITALISM” DOOMS THE ENVIRONMENT

2. CAPITALISM IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS.

#### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC: “Government is good”**

2. REFORMISM LINK - ANY ENGAGEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT SUSTAINS CAPITALISM. FULL DISENGAGEMENT AND ALTERNATIVES OUTSIDE THE STATE IS THE ONLY MORAL OPTION LEFT.

#### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC: Transition TURN**

They say that the transition away from capitalism is too hard, but...

1. Our ALTERNATIVE is distinct – we've learned from the past failures of communism by specifically rejecting its call for growth and control of the state. That's key to new economic structures that avoid pollution – extend our Sklair 2016 evidence.

2. TRY OR DIE FOR THE ALTERNATIVE –CAPITALISM WILL RESULT IN EXTINCTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESTRUCTION AND CLIMATE CHANGE.

#### **2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC: “PERMUTATION – DO BOTH”**

They say that we can do the PLAN and reject capitalism, but...

1. Extend our 1NC LINKs – all LINKs are DISADVANTAGES to the PERMUTATION. That means that if we win any LINK, the ALTERNATIVE is net better than the PERMUTATION.
2. The PERMUTATION is illogical – our ALTERNATIVE includes the elimination of the neoliberal state, while the PLAN uses it to expand our nation. That means they're mutually exclusive.
3. CO-OPTION LINK - CAPITALISM CO-OPTS OPPOSITION. THE PERMUTATION FUNCTIONS AS AN ATTEMPT TO ASSIMILATE ANTICAPITALIST POLITICS INTO CAPITALISM.

### 2NC/1NR Answer to 2AC: "CAPITALISM SOLVES POVERTY"

They say that capitalism solves poverty, but...

2. GUT CHECK – CAPITALISM ISN'T FAIR, ISN'T WORKING, AND CAN ONLY LEAD TO A DEAD END

## TOPICALITY – NEGATIVE

### TOPICALITY NEGATIVE - Legal permanent residence

#### 1NC vs. H-1B Visas TOPICALITY

- A. INTERPRETATION: The federal government must reduce restrictions on legal permanent residence.  
IMMIGRATION MEANS MOVE PERMANENTLY
- B. VIOLATION – H-1B VISAS ARE FOR TEMPORARY STAYS.
- C. STANDARDS for why the judge should vote NEGATIVE

1. GROUND - expanding the topic to include all non-immigrant and temporary visas explodes GROUND and forces the negative to prepare for countless small AFFIRMATIVES including tourist visas, student visas, asylum, and airports. That leads to shallow education because we don't gain an in depth understanding of immigration law because teams are incentivized to write AFFIRMATIVES that avoid clash.

**2. LIMITS – Clear LIMITS to the scope of the topic are key to protecting NEGATIVE preparation. A topic focused on only permanent residency provides the best LINKs to key Negative strategies with core educational value like the Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE and the Trump Base DISADVANTAGE. They destroy LIMITS to the topic, which is unfair because it makes our preparation for debating against the core of the topic unusable.**

**3. TOPICAL VERSION OF THE AFFIRMATIVE – they did not need to be non-TOPICAL as there is a version of their PLAN that fits within our interpretation: The USFG should expand green cards for skilled workers.**

**D - TOPICALITY is a voting issue for FAIRNESS and education.**

### **1NC vs. Open Borders TOPICALITY**

**A. INTERPRETATION: The federal government must reduce restrictions on legal permanent residence.**

**IMMIGRATION MEANS MOVE PERMANENTLY**

**B. VIOLATION – The PLAN removes all restrictions, which means it affects nonimmigrants, tourists, refugees, and other groups.**

**C. STANDARDS for why the judge should vote NEGATIVE**

**–**

**1. GROUND - expanding the topic to include all nonimmigrant and temporary visas explodes GROUND and forces the negative to prepare for a million small AFFIRMATIVES including tourist visas, student visas, asylum, and airports. That leads to shallow education because we don't gain an in depth understanding of immigration law because teams are incentivized to write AFFIRMATIVES that avoid clash.**

**2. LIMITS – LIMITS are key to protecting NEGATIVE preparation. A topic focused on only permanent residency provides the best LINKs to key topic generics like the Brain Drain DISADVANTAGE and the Trump base DISADVANTAGE. They destroy LIMITS which renders our preparation useless which is unfair.**

**3. EXTRA TOPICALITY – the AFFIRMATIVE is extra TOPICAL, even if part of the AFFIRMATIVE affects long term permanent residents it also allows refugees, temporary workers, tourists, and other groups that aren't here for long term permanent residence. This kills NEGATIVE GROUND because it allows them to claim advantages off the nonTOPICAL parts of the PLAN.**

**4. TOPICAL VERSION OF THE AFFIRMATIVE – they did not need to be non-TOPICAL as there is a version of their PLAN that fits within our interpretation: The USFG should remove all restrictions on entry for legal permanent residency.**

**D - TOPICALITY is a voter for FAIRNESS and education.**

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs H-1B – Answers to 2AC “We Meet”**

**2. Extend our INTERPRETATION - The federal government must reduce restrictions on legal permanent residence. Extend our 1NC definition from Merriam Webster.**

**3. At best they're extra TOPICAL even if the PLAN converts H-1B visas to a permanent residence program, they're still expanding the topic into an area that is currently NOT a permanent residence program. There's no literature on H-1Bs as legal permanent residence, so we have no GROUND for our DISADVANTAGES. This makes it impossible to have a fair debate with research on both sides and TOPICALITY is a VOTING ISSUE.**

**4. Extend our standards –**

**A. GROUND – our INTERPRETATION is key to a topic that fairly allocates ground. The AFFIRMATIVE should have access to all restrictions on permanent residency while the NEGATIVE gets access to all temporary residency. This ensures that the AFFIRMATIVE doesn't**

have a million small nonresident visa AFFIRMATIVES such as students or temporary asylum to surprise the NEGATIVE and waste our preparation for this tournament, while also giving the NEGATIVE good COUNTER LAN ground.

B. LIMITS – our LIMIT is not arbitrary. Either the PLAN decreases restriction on permanent residence in the U.S. or it doesn't. This is a very clear LIMIT because the AFFIRMATIVE can easily show whether it is or isn't permanent residence. This makes our LIMIT the clearest because it's objective, not subjective.

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs H-1B – Answers to 2AC COUNTER-INTERPRETATION**

They say “legal immigration is who we let into the country,” but ...

1. Their interpretation sets NO LIMITS. It defines immigration as anything that has to do with letting people into our country – it has no specifics

2. This means there's NO BRIGHTLINE for what is TOPICAL when they have such a broad and vague definition.

3. HERE'S MORE EVIDENCE - IMMIGRATION IS PERMANENT RESIDENCE.

4. Prefer our evidence -

A. Most common understanding – our sources are the main English language dictionaries – these are better because the purpose is to define words.

B. Clearest topic language – their Cornell Law Legal Information Institute definition defines “federal immigration law,” which is not the same as “legal restrictions on immigration.” Therefore, it's unclear that their definition actually applies to the topic. Our definition specifically defines a topic word, “immigration.”

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs H-1B – Answers to 2AC “COUNTER-STANDARDS”**

1. They say GROUND –

- A. The expansion to a million tiny AFFIRMATIVES is unnecessary given our TOPICAL version of the AFFIRMATIVE.
- B. That means the resolitional GROUND is a question of how to address the areas of immigration controversy through the lens of reducing restrictions on permanent residency.
- C. This ensures the AFFIRMATIVE is large enough to LINK DISADVANTAGES and safeguards NEGATIVE prep.
  - 2. They say LIMITS –
    - A. They create an UNDERLIMITED topic for no reason.
    - B. Even OVERLIMITING is better because it's a fairer world for the NEGATIVE.
  - 3. COMPETING INTERPRETATIONS
    - A. Best definition – TOPICALITY is a search for the best definition of the topic. Only competing INTERPRETATIONS achieves this by evaluating the desirability of two interpretations and choosing the better option for debate.

B Judge intervention – There's no clear standard for what constitutes REASONABLE. This means that a REASONABILITY standard results in inconsistent TOPICALITY debates with different judges intervening at different points. Only competing interpretations ensures FAIRNESS and PREDICTABILITY in TOPICALITY debates.

C. They say multiple definitions – we agree this is why competing INTERPRETATIONS is key because it's not a question of if there's some definition that supports your AFFIRMATIVE but rather the best definition for debate in general.

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs OPEN BORDERS – Answers to 2AC “We Meet”**

- 1. Extend our INTERPRETATION - The federal government must reduce restrictions on legal permanent residence. Extend our 1NC definition from Merriam Webster.
- 2. At best they're extra TOPICAL -



**THE PLAN LETS IN REFUGEES AND ALLOWS FOR UNAUTHORIZED PEOPLE TO REMAIN. THAT’S DISTINCT FROM REDUCING RESTRICTIONS ON SOLELY LEGAL IMMIGRATION. THIS EXPLODES AFFIRMATIVE GROUND.**

**3. This makes it impossible to have a fair debate with research on both sides and TOPICALITY is a VOTING ISSUE.**

**4. Extend our STANDARDS –**

**A. GROUND – our INTERPRETATION is key to a topic that fairly allocates ground. The AFFIRMATIVE should have access to all restrictions on permanent residency while the NEGATIVE gets access to all temporary residency. This ensures that the AFFIRMATIVE doesn’t have a million small nonresident visa AFFIRMATIVES such as students or temporary asylum to surprise the NEGATIVE and waste our preparation for this tournament, while also giving the NEGATIVE good COUNTERPLAN ground.**

**B. LIMITS – our LIMIT is not arbitrary. Either the PLAN decreases restriction on permanent residence in the U.S. or it doesn’t. This is a very clear LIMIT because the AFFIRMATIVE can easily show whether it is or isn’t permanent residence. This makes our LIMIT the clearest because it’s objective, not subjective.**

**4. PLAN DOESN’T ONLY CHANGE LONG TERM PERMANENT RESIDENCE, IT CHANGES RESTRICTIONS ON THOSE ALREADY IN THE U.S., WHICH EXPLODES LIMITS AND KILLS NEGATIVE GROUND.**

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs OPEN BORDERS – Answers to 2AC COUNTER-INTERPRETATION**

**They say “legal immigration is who we let into the country,” but ...**

**1. Their interpretation sets NO LIMITS. It defines immigration as anything that has to do with letting people into our country – it has no specifics**

2. This means there's NO BRIGHTLINE for what is TOPICAL when they have such a broad and vague definition.
3. HERE'S MORE EVIDENCE - IMMIGRATION IS PERMANENT RESIDENCE.
4. Prefer our evidence -
  - A. Most common understanding – our sources are the main English language dictionaries – these are better because the purpose is to define words.
  - B. Clearest topic language – their Cornell Law Legal Information Institute definition defines “federal immigration law,” which is not the same as “legal restrictions on immigration.” Therefore, it's unclear that their definition actually applies to the topic. Our definition specifically defines a topic word, “immigration.”

### **2NC/1NR Topicality vs OPEN BORDERS – Answers to 2AC “COUNTER-STANDARDS”**

1. They say GROUND –
  - A. The expansion to a million tiny AFFIRMATIVES is unnecessary given our TOPICAL version of the AFFIRMATIVE.
  - B. That means the resolutorial GROUND is a question of how to address the areas of immigration controversy through the lens of reducing restrictions on permanent residency.
  - C. This ensures the AFFIRMATIVE is large enough to LINK DISADVANTAGES and safeguards NEGATIVE prep.
2. They say LIMITS –
  - A. They create an UNDERLIMITED topic for no reason.
  - B. Even OVERLIMITING is better because it's a fairer world for the NEGATIVE.
    - A. Best definition – TOPICALITY is a search for the best definition of the topic. Only competing INTERPRETATIONS achieves this by evaluating the desirability of two interpretations and choosing the better option for debate.
    - B. Judge intervention – There's no clear standard for what constitutes REASONABLE. This means that a

**REASONABILITY** standard results in inconsistent **TOPICALITY** debates with different judges intervening at different points. Only competing interpretations ensures **FAIRNESS** and **PREDICTABILITY** in **TOPICALITY** debates.

C. They say multiple definitions – we agree this is why competing **INTERPRETATIONS** is key because it's not a question of if there's some definition that supports your **AFFIRMATIVE** but rather the best definition for debate in general.

## **1NC vs. Refugees TOPICALITY Visas**

A. **INTERPRETATION: LEGAL IMMIGRATION MEANS ENTRY PURSUANT TO AN IMMIGRATION VISA**

B. **VIOLATION – REFUGEES DON'T ENTER THE COUNTRY ON VISAS.**

C. **STANDARDS**

1. **Extra TOPICALITY** – even if part of the **PLAN** is **TOPICAL**, the **PLAN** goes far beyond reducing restrictions on immigrant visas and includes many other categories of entry into the U.S. At least some of this is not **TOPICAL**.

2. **Effects TOPICALITY** – even if refugees eventually become legal residents years later, that's an **effect** of the **PLAN**, not what the **PLAN** mandates itself. Judge the **TOPICALITY** of the **PLAN** based on the text of the **PLAN** alone.

3. **PREDICTABILITY** – our **INTERPRETATION** is uniquely predictable because it **LIMITS** the topic to one specific mechanism—visas—which is key to **DISADVANTAGE LINKS**.

4. **LIMITS** – our **INTERPRETATION** **LIMITS** the topic because there's a finite number of visas.

D) **TOPICALITY** is a voting issue

## **2NC/1NR – TOPICALITY = Visas vs Refugees – Answer to 2AC “We Meet”**

2. **HUMANITARIAN ADMISSIONS LIKE REFUGEES ARE TOTALLY DISTINCT FROM LEGAL IMMIGRATION IN THE LAW – ALLOWING EXTRA TOPICAL PLANS LIKE THE AFFIRMATIVE TO BE TOPICAL**

TRIPLES THE SIZE OF THE RESEARCH WE'D NEED TO DO TO DEBATE THIS TOPIC

**3. Extend our INTERPRETATION – Legal immigration must go through immigration visas. Extend our 1NC definition from Merriam Webster.**

**4. At best they're EFFECTS TOPICAL. Their evidence saying refugees have to apply for green cards in a year proves our argument that an immigration visa is an EVENTUAL EFFECT of the PLAN. We can't debate DISADVANTAGE LINKS years in advance - this makes it impossible to have a fair debate with research on both sides and TOPICALITY is a VOTING ISSUE.**

**5. Extend our standards –**

**A. GROUND – our INTERPRETATION is key to a topic that fairly allocates ground. The AFFIRMATIVE should have access to all restrictions on permanent residency while the NEGATIVE gets access to all temporary residency. This ensures that the AFFIRMATIVE doesn't have a million small nonresident visa AFFIRMATIVES such as students or temporary asylum to surprise the NEGATIVE and waste our preparation for this tournament, while also giving the NEGATIVE good COUNTER LAN ground.**

**B. LIMITS – our LIMIT is not arbitrary. Either the PLAN decreases restriction on permanent residence in the U.S. or it doesn't. This is a very clear LIMIT because the AFFIRMATIVE can easily show whether it is or isn't permanent residence. This makes our LIMIT the clearest because it's objective, not subjective.**

### **2NC/1NR Topicality = Visas vs Refugees – Answers to 2AC COUNTER-INTERPRETATION**

They say “legal immigration is who we let into the country,” but ...

**1. Their interpretation sets NO LIMITS. It defines immigration as anything that has to do with letting people into our country – it has no specifics**

2. This means there's NO BRIGHTLINE for what is TOPICAL when they have such a broad and vague definition.

3. Prefer our evidence -

A. Expert definitions – our definition comes from a law journal, while theirs is just a common dictionary definition. It's better to know how the law defines "legal immigration."

B. More precise – their Cornell Law Legal Information Institute definition defines "federal immigration law," which is not the same as our definition - "legal immigration." Therefore, it's unclear that their definition actually applies to the topic.

### **2NC/1NR Topicality = Visas vs Refugees – Answers to 2AC "COUNTER-STANDARDS"**

1. They say GROUND –

A. They expand the topic far too much into every area of how people could enter the country – only limiting PLANS to those that use immigration visas allows us to learn about the core of the topic.

B. This is worse for NEGATIVE GROUND – we can't win LINKS to our best DISADVANTAGES and lose valuable COUNTERPLAN ground. It makes preparation impossible.

2. They say LIMITS –

A. They create an UNDERLIMITED topic for no reason.

B. Even OVERLIMITING is better because it's a fairer world for the NEGATIVE.

A. Best definition – TOPICALITY is a search for the best definition of the topic. Only competing INTERPRETATIONS achieves this by evaluating the desirability of two interpretations and choosing the better option for debate.

B Judge intervention – There's no clear standard for what constitutes REASONABLE. This means that a REASONABILITY standard results in inconsistent TOPICALITY debates with different judges intervening at different points. Only competing interpretations ensures FAIRNESS and PREDICTABILITY in TOPICALITY debates.

**C. They say multiple definitions – we agree this is why competing INTERPRETATIONS is key because it's not a question of if there's some definition that supports your AFFIRMATIVE but rather the best definition for debate in general.**